

# Proposal for Beijing Calendar & Development Best Practices

Gildas Lanilis – ONAP Release Manager

F2F Santa Clara Dec 11-13, 2017

# Agenda

- Beijing Release Calendar
- Changes on Milestones
- Branch Cut
- Code Coverage and CSIT goals
- Commit Process and Code Review
- Jira

# ONAP Beijing Release Calendar (TSC Approved)

Month	November	December	January	February	Marcl	h	April		May	Ju
Release tional lidays	11/16	F2F US		ONAP R2		F2F ONS			05/24	Dev Worksho
	M0: 11/16	11/30 12/13	M1: 01/18	M2: 02/12	M3: 03/08	M4: 03/29	RC0: 04/19 R	C1: 05/03	RC2: 05/17 05/24	
	Open Intent To Participate E2E Release Use Case Approved	Projects Projects Sub'd Proposal App'd	Project Planning	Functionality Freeze	API Freeze	Code Freeze	RC0	RC1	RC2 Sign-Off	
					st Case % Impl'd	Test Case 100% Impl'o	Test Case Stit	ch'd		
Integration Testing			E2E Release Test Case Def'd		E2E Release Test Case Impl'd		•			
Hardware Labs			Lab Ready					_	•	
CICD (LF)		•	neauy							
		CI/CD Readiness								
Architecture		Fu	nctional Architecture Def'd	Architecture Approved	API Doc Freeze					
Documentation				Plan Def'd			Ready for Rev	iew	Doc Complete	
Training				Plan Def'd			Ready for I	Review	Training Comp	lete
Install Upgrade		Upgra	de Strategy Def'd			E2E [	Deployment Aut	tomated		

## Changes: Milestones

#### M1 Project Planning

- Project Planning available for review by Jan 8. We want to give time to review the plans.
- Security goals: Specify what CII badging level the project expects to meet?
- Platform Maturity: Specify the target to meet?

#### M1 Labs Readiness

- Labs should be ready at same time as release planning
- Integration Team will permanently test the whole solution



## Changes: Milestones

- M2 Functionality Freeze
  - Architecture is approved

## Changes: Milestones

- M4-RC0: Code Freeze-Release Candidate 0
  - 3 weeks instead of 2
  - Week 1:
    - Project Teams gather to perform their pairwise testing and fix bug.
    - Teams are self-organized and may take any opportunity at any time during development cycle to pairwise.
  - Week 2 and 3:
    - Project Teams "Show & Tell" to Integration the outcome of their testing.
    - Project Teams may need to re-iterate as bugs are found.
    - This is orchestrated by Integration.

#### **Branch Cut**

- RC0-Sign-Off: Branch Cut
  - When shall we cut the Branch?
    - Or when shall we decide to cut the branch?
  - LF creates Branch and update Jenkins Jobs (consistent across all projects)
- At Sign-Off:
  - LF tags release artifacts (consistent across all projects)
  - PTL bumps the version Digits

## Code Coverage and CSIT

- In Amsterdam, 30% code coverage for all repo. Goal for Beijing: 50%
  - For discussion

- In Amsterdam: Team were empowered to define their CSIT suite.
  - What approach for Beijing?
  - How much more?

Note: LF infra to support Python

#### Commit Process and Code Review

#### Commit Process

- No Self Commit allowed for committers
- 36 Business Hours. To clarify:
  - To provide feedback. Once feedback is provided the timer is set to 0
  - To respect the effort of the contributor
  - Deferring review: PTL sets a note in Gerrit on the reasons the defer merge.
- Commit body must document the rationale on the changes: that will help the reviewer and your change to get code through quickly

#### Code Review

- Anyone can review code and provide -1,0,+1
- Only Committers can provide -2,+2
- PTL can delegate Code Review to anyone they trust

### Jira

- Make the following fields mandatory:
  - Affects Version/s: Project version(s) for which the issue is (or was) manifesting
  - Fix Version/s: Project version(s) for the issue to be fixed
- PTLs are in the driving seat:
  - PTL can change bugs priority
  - PTL decides what go into the Sprint
  - PTL decides on Fix Version
  - PTL can remove items from a Sprint
- As a reporter, do not add a bug in the Current Sprint.
  - If a bug is critical, place it on the top of the Product Backlog (you can also kindly email the PTL)



谢谢