

ONAP EUAG Survey

The purpose of this survey is to solicit input from the LFN EUAG to ONAP

Please choose which best describes your company's strategic approach to ONAP (Please try to represent the entire company, not just your organization within it)

- We try to stay on top of what is going on, as we do for any other major industry initiative. We are mostly observing with no intention to actively contribute currently.
- We see the potential in ONAP, but currently we feel it is missing critical pieces that will make it usable in our network
- We like the ONAP concept but feel it is not mature enough for us to make a decision regarding using it in our network
- We are committed to have ONAP as the main orchestrator in our network. We started deployment already, either in a lab or production.

Please rate how you perceive the following ONAP characteristics and functional areas

	1 Disappointing	2	3	4	5 Exceptional	No opinion
Modularity The ability to use only a subset of ONAP modules that are relevant to your company						
Stability How do you perceive the expected availability of the ONAP system if it the current version were to be deployed in your network						
Performance What is your assessment of ONAP's capability to handle the expected loads in your network						
Use cases Are the use cases supported by ONAP match the ones you expect to deploy? If not, do you see a clear path to implementing your use cases?						

<p>Ease of deployment</p> <p>Do you think the effort required to deploy ONAP is reasonable? Are the system requirements reasonable?</p>						
<p>Ease of use</p> <p>Is ONAP addressing the operational needs of the various departments within your company?</p>						
<p>Delivering on promise</p> <p>Does ONAP have the potential to solve real problems in your company (such as, but not limited to, OpEx reduction, time to market and competitiveness, better network control and response to faults, etc.)</p>						
<p>Standard and industry initiative alignment</p> <p>Alignment with MEF, ETSI-NFV, TMForum, TOSCA, etc.</p>						
<p>External APIs</p> <p>Does ONAP have enough APIs to integrate with existing systems in my network</p>						

For any items that you ranked low in the table above, how do you think ONAP should improve?

(Examples: "Offer better packaging to enable us to pick and choose modules", "Include more use cases so we can be confident ours are covered", "Improve GUI to address needs of people in our company", "More APIs so we can integrate with external systems", "Increase security")

If you don't have plans to deploy ONAP, what could make you change your mind? If you already plan to deploy in the future, what could cause an acceleration of such plans

(Examples: "Significant improvement in stability", "Better support of my use cases", "Other operators going first")

In what ways do you believe you and your company could help ONAP become better suited for your needs?

- We can have our network operations people provide some requirements and guidelines for ONAP
- We have potential ONAP users that may prioritize existing requirements for features
- We deployed/planning to deploy ONAP in our lab or network and already have a set of suggestions for improvement
- We have some internal/external orchestration requirements documents that we would like the ONAP community to consider as input