




# ONAP Subcommittees F2F

- 1<sup>st</sup> , 2<sup>nd</sup> April –
- El Alto Priorities –

Stephen Terrill, .....



Dublin	Signoff
	May-30

Priorities?  
 Release Candance?  
 Theme?  
 M0 timing?

# Notes from discussion:

- New use cases should identify the platform enhancements
- Integrability (modularity?) is important
  - The ability to select the components required or integrate against existing functions.
  - Well defined documentation of expectation between the modules, and maximum decoupling of functionality
  - Solid interfaces
- Deployability
  - Reliability (S3P)
  - Robustness
- Platform maturity
- Make the platform more “friendly” and “consistent” for VNFs
  - Tosca/Heat/Helm
    - Feature parity for the different VNFs

# Notes from discussion:

- ONAP Usability:
  - Common design environment
    - Today different UIs used, move towards a common approach
- Clear separate the design phase from the run-time approach (orchestration paths)
- Security aspects.
  - Items are coming from penetration testing
- Question: Is the 5G and edge automation use cases something to prioritise.
- Question: What does it mean to “deploy onap”. Community release or reliance on Vendors. Indication of good idea to start small if taking the community version.
  - There was a response that some basic functions (e.g. authentication) needs to be built into the core
- 5G is an important use case.

# Notes from discussion:

- Uniform technical stack
  - Databases, parsers, technologies used by the projects.
- Question: is it possible to focus on integratability at the same time as focus on a uniform platform?
- There was a comment that reliability and stability etc (S3P) should be more specific. A call for those that are interested to get together and defined what is wanted.
- How can we use OPNFV to support with integration?



# ONAP

OPEN NETWORK AUTOMATION PLATFORM