

ONAP Third Party Operational Domain Manager

Telstra

May, 2019

Use Case #1 ONAP as "Third Party" Operational Domain Manager Business Drivers

Executive Summary - In case of tier 1 / brownfield operators, it's more likely that ONAP might need to interface with existing orchestration platforms for specific domains This use case will provide ONAP capability to be operational domain manager for third party services. Service providers will be able to use ONAP to provide end to end automation for composite or white labelled services which could be provided and managed by third parties. This use case will enable federated catalog and orchestration management.

Business Impact - The use case will provide capability in ONAP for seamlessly on-boarding services from partner (or specific) domain catalog. Lack of this capability today will lead to manual creation of partner services in ONAP which is time consuming and error prone. With introduction of this capability, ONAP will be able to consume domain specific service definitions via Open APIs and publish the same to run time components. Next phase of this use case will extend the operational domain manager capabilities to support complete service operations value chain for "Third Party" or Domain specific services via federation.

Business Markets

- Potential candidates for Third Party Domains which can be supported by ONAP in this context:
 - Fixed Broadband Service from Last Mile Connectivity Provider
 - Managed Network Service from other service providers (Telco Peers)
 - Hybrid cloud ecosystem of private and public clouds from multiple cloud service providers
 - Special case could be composite services which include service components managed by a existing domain manager

•This use case is also relevant service provider environment where all services are managed by single ONAP environment (e.g. If there is need to move catalog from dev / test to production) •This will be very relevant for automation of digital services delivered via diverse 5G Ecosystem (B2B2X Models) for vertical industry solutions

Funding/Financial Impacts -

- •This use case, once developed, can be used by any service provider deploying and using ONAP.
- •ONAP as "Third Party" Domain manger will play a significant role in on-boarding partner domains in a uniform manner.
- •Service definition from "Third Party" will be made available to service provider in few hours, consumable via an abstraction layer (optional) (NaaS in Telstra context).
- •Once catalog is on-boarded ONAP can publish the service definition to other design time and run time components such that ONAP can support complete life cycle management of the service via federation
- All this will essentially bring down time to market significantly for partner services. Telstra is committed to drive the implementation of these capabilities in ONAP across next few releases.

Organization Mgmt, Sales Strategies - There is no additional organizational management or sales strategies for this use case outside of a service providers "normal" ONAP deployment and its attendant organizational resources from a service provider.



Usecase#1: ONAP as "Third Party" Operational Domain Manager Detailed View

Use Case Overview

- A standards-based approach that allows a service provider to have a network automation platform for composite or white labelled services managed by specific/ Third Party " domain managers
- ONAP provides Operations Domain Management (ODM) and other complementary capabilities to ensure full automation of the E2E lifecycle management of the service via federation
- Services are exposed and consumed via Network as a Service (NaaS) which is an abstraction layer above the operational domains and exposes the services to BSS
- Consistent way of consuming 3rd party services for service providers like Telstra
- ONAP will facilitate service operations value chain for third party domain via federation
- Substitutes multiple handovers between parties/teams and applications to enable zero touch automation



- This use case implementation will be phased out across release F and G
- Initial Impact Analysis
 - Service Provisioning
 - SDC Import 3rd party service definition, Publish catalog to run time ONAP components
 - Any potential SO enhancements for orchestration
 - A&AI add references on 3rd party domain inventory
 - Enhancements to Ext APIs (POST Operation for Catalog API)
 - Service life cycle management action associated with O2A and T2R must be supported via federation
 - CM: change management (capacity increase to meet scaling demands);
 - IM: Support incident management (problem identification and fix); and
 - VM: Any support (workflow/notification if any) for services associated with VNFs managed by "Third Party")
 - Remediation actions are triggered automatically using ONAP as ODM
 - Necessary references to service provided by "Third Party" domains will be made available to support C2M/P2O processes

Changes mentioned in Grey are proposed for subsequent ONAP Releases beyond Frankfurt

Beneficiary: 3rd party providers and Service Providers like Telstra



Product Modelling & Distribution



Flow Diagram for 3rd Party Catalog Sync and Order Activation





The flow steps

Catalog Sync Summary

1 – External Third party domain exports it service catalog details to Telstra. Telstra orchestrator ONAP exposes TMF Open API 633 Service Catalog API via ONAP Ext API component. Third Party Domain leverages the API 633 to POST the Service Catalog payload.

POST nbi/api/v2/serviceSpecification

Request body -ONAP compatible Service CSAR / (json ??)

CSAR contents:

RFSS for Partner Domain Service

2 - ONAP Ext API updates SDC catalog by invoking internal SDC API

POST sdc/v1/catalog/services

- 3 Ext API notifies Third party after successful update within ONAP
- 4 Service Decomposition happens in SDC (any manual updates e.g. creating composite service)
- SDC updates other ONAP components (which have registered with SDC DMaaP) with catalog details
- 5a SO pulls SDC catalog details

5b – AAI pulls inventory details

- Ext API also notifies northbound systems (BSS/NaaS) after successful import of the service catalog into ONAP.
- 5c BSS retrieves catalog information from ONAP

Order Activation Summary

- 6 BSS submit order using TMF 641 Service Ordering API, that is exposed by ONAP Ext API
- 7 ONAP Ext API submits the request to ONAP SO

8 – ONAP SO decomposes the service and submits the request by invoking Ext API (This is similar to what is being proposed for CCVPN use case as well. This maintains that only Ext API interacts with outside world and other ONAP components do not)

9 - ONAP Ext API invokes the Third Party's Ordering API



Impact Analysis So Far for 3rd Party Catalog Sync

SDC

- Expose POST functionality of SDC Onboarding API as an external API within ONAP
- Reuse sdc-dao to update the Cassandra database and store the new service in SDC catalog
- Reuse SDC distribution functionality to distribute the new service to registered ONAP components (no change)
- Existing UUID creation logic will be used
- Last mile access service from 3rd party will be used for detailed analysis and reference implementation
- TOSCA based onboarding in work in progress in SDC, it supports heat based only. The TOSCA based work is ongoing separately in Modeling project. This dependency on Modeling project need to be looked into.

Ext API / NBI

- Introduce POST for TMF API 633 Service Catalog API
- Realization of POST operation in Ext API will depend on decisions taken during SDC implementation.
 - Ext API changes to be planned for future release



Possible Approaches for 3rd Party Catalog Sync

Entity Option 1: Resource

 Onboard the resource in ONAP SDC as a VSP, will require updates to VSP onboarding API Entity Option 2: Service (Proposed)

 Onboard the service in ONAP SDC as a Service, will require updates to Service onboarding API Legend : Pros, Cons, Neutral

Payload Option 1: JSON (Proposed)

- Leveraging existing approach for Ext API / NBI
- ExtAPI / NBI will send the JSON in SDC compatible format for its Consumption in v1/catalog/services

Payload Option 2: CSAR

- Potential reuse from TOSCA onboarding Project in SDC
- This might alter existing Ext API / NBI approach
- There would be additional implementation at Third Party end to generate higher level TOSCA



Flow Diagram for 3rd PARTY SDC Catalog Sync

Invoke onboarding API {POST sdc/v1/catalog/services} CSAR/JSON contents: RFSS for partner service to be created as a Service in ONAP Publish Resource or RFSS to ONAP using TOSCA Service JSON (INTERLUDE) {POST nbi/api/v2/serviceSpecification}



Steps 1 to 3 on previous slide - which are part of new functionality - are explained here. Steps 4 onward depict existing functionality reused

1 – Invoke TMF 633 Service Catalog API
 3rd Party Domain's Payload to be submitted as a JSON –
 Expected format - Service Specification payload specified by TMF 633

2- Ext API to invoke SDC onboarding API to updated ONAP SDC catalog Invoke ServiceServlet - createService() – JSON payload Currently on-boarding API is invoked when Create Service button is clicked in SDC UI Ext API needs to be added as a consumer of the API Existing logic to be reused:

UUID creation in validateServiceBeforeCreate Logic to add default TOSCA components

2a – Persist the service in SDC database

THELINUX FOUNDATION

3-Ext API will Notify 3rd Party after SDC catalog update

Register for Distribution: Ext API will register itself with SDC. Ext API will receive distribution notification from SDC after service catalog creation in SDC Ext API notifies 3rd Party Domain



Payload structure of input to ONAP from Third Party

```
"configurable": false,
 "isUnique": false,
 "extensible": false
```

"@type": "NetworkServiceSpecification",
"isBundle": false,
"lastUpdate": "2019-05-17T06:37:31.911Z'



Payload structure of input to SDC Service creation API-with sample

"version": nul "contactId": "cs0008" "ownerId": nul "categories": [{}] "name": "ExtService", "tags": ["ExtService"], "componentType": "SERVICE", "projectCode": "010203", "properties": [{}] "inputs": [{}] "ecompGeneratedNaming": true, "serviceApiArtifacts": {}, "instantiationType": "A-la-carte", "environmentContext": "General Revenue-Bea

"name": "Partner", "normalizedName": "Partner", "uniqueId": "serviceNewCategory.Partner", "icons": ["Partner"], "subcategories": null, "version": null, "ownerId": null, "empty": false, "type": null

```
"uniqueId": "",
   "type": "object",
   "required": false,
   "schema": {
      "property": {
         "type": "string",
         "definition": true,
         "password": false,
         "name": "phonenumber",
         "hidden": false,
         "immutable": false,
         "isDeclaredListInput":
false.
         "empty": false
      },
      "empty": false
   },
   "password": false,
   "name": "contact",
   "hidden": false,
   "isDeclaredListInput": false,
```

```
"empty": false
```

Placeholder for attributes needed for instantiation





Structure of SDC generated TOSCA CSAR

Below is the expanded view of the TOSCA CSAR generated by ONAP SDC.

Definitions – contains the interface yaml file which contains the metadata definition of the properties defined in the payload (detailed in previous slide)





Flow Diagram for Ext API to consume SDC On-Boarding API





Flow Diagram for Ext API to register for SDC Service Creation Notification





Activities in Scope for SDC

- Impact Analysis
- Sprint Planning
- Design
- Implementation
- Architecture Documentation
- Integration ??
- Testing Based on ROBOT Framework
- Defect Management





Thank you