

# 2021-04-07 Meeting notes (ONAP/O-RAN-SC/SMO - Meeting) - Agenda

07 Apr 2021 | 9am PDT | noon EDT | 16:00 UTC | 18:00 CEST | 21:30 IST

Note:

- North American daylight saving 2021 starts March14
- European daylight savings 2021 starts March28

ZOOM: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89069708424?pwd=aGJOZm54eTUxd0FXR0VCU1N0ejBrUT09>  
Meeting ID: 890 6970 8424

## Attendees

- [Alex Stancu](#)
- [Abhinav Singh](#)
- [Alessandro Gerardo D'Alessandro](#)
- [Amy Zwarico](#)
- [Andrea Buldorini](#)
- [Andy Mayer](#)
- [@Anh Le](#)
- [Claudio David Gasparini](#)
- [Damian Nowak](#)
- [Dibas Das](#)
- [@Dmytro Gassanov](#)
- [emmanuel sarris](#)
- [Fernando Oliveira](#)
- [Grzegorz Wielgosinski](#)
- [HariomGupta\(HCL\)](#)
- [Joachim Blixt](#)
- [John Keeney](#)
- [Kamel Idir](#)
- [user-7f92d](#)
- [Konrad Baka](#)
- [Kuldeep Negi](#)
- [Lasse Kaihlavirta](#)
- [Lathishbabu Ganesan](#)
- [Manoj Nair](#)
- [Martin Skorupski](#)
- [marcin krasowski](#)
- [Michela Bevilacqua](#)
- [Paulo Costa](#)
- [Pawel Slowikowski](#)
- [Ruslan Kashapov](#)
- [Sonia Sangari](#)
- [Scott Blandford](#)
- [subhash kumar singh](#)
- [Swaminathan Seetharaman](#)
- [Timo Perala](#)
- [@Vidhu Pandey](#)
- [Zu Qiang \(Ericsson\)](#)
- [Sven van der Meer](#)

## Informed

- [Andrea Buldorini](#)
- [Alexander Dehn](#)
- [George Clapp](#)
- [Herbert Eiselt](#)
- [KAPIL SINGAL](#)
- [Tracy Van Brakle](#)

## See also

- [2021-04-07 Meeting notes - Joint OAM / NONRTRIC / SIM / SMO SCRUM meeting](#)

## Goals

- share information between
  - O-RAN-SC Non-RT-RIC
  - O-RAN-SC OAM
  - O-RAN-SC SMO
  - ONAP CCSDK/SDNC/SDN-R
  - LFN 😊

## Recording

- [Recording \(cloud\)](#)
- [Recording \(mp4 - low res\)](#)

Please add/remove yourself. Thanks!

## Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
00:00	Admin	<a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a>	<b>Next meetings:</b> 2021-04-14: <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> - 2021-04-21: <a href="#">John Keeney</a> 2021-04-28: <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> 2021-05-05: <a href="#">John Keeney</a>

00:05	O-RAN-SC	O-RAN-SC PTLs	<p>Status reports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Non-RT-RIC:</b> <a href="#">John Keeney</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ consumer and producer for O-DU app</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>SIM:</b> <a href="#">Alex Stancu</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ NETCONF-access-control according to O-RAN-WG4 specs</li> <li>▪ new sim flavors for O-RAN-SC use cases</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>OAM:</b> <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ proposed <a href="#">naming convention</a> for O-RAN Components for test and demo purposes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <a href="#">demo network setup</a></li> <li>▪ <a href="#">integration of an identity server</a></li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ update ccsdk to ODL silicon - needed for NETCONF-Call-Home/TLS</li> <li>▪ creation of a use case specific docker-compose with simulated O-RU-Fronthaul and O-DU-hello-world.yang + ves: pnfRegistration (maybe ves:heartbeat, ves:fault) - header VES:7.2.1</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
00:10	SMO functionality and alignment between ONAP and O-RAN SC	<a href="#">Swaminathan Seetharaman</a>	<p>SMO functionality and alignment between ONAP and O-RAN SC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Swami, John and Martin: slide set was presented</li> <li>▪ There was a meeting between ONAP TSC and O-RAN-SC ToC - including LFN</li> </ul> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>ONAP_ORA...v1.0.pdf</p> </div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪</li> </ul> <p>Some thoughts: <a href="https://www.lfnetworking.org/5g-super-blueprint/">https://www.lfnetworking.org/5g-super-blueprint/</a></p>
00:15	Q/A		
00:16	"A1 Proxy"	<a href="#">Pawel Slowikowski</a>	<p>more on A1 Proxy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ internal Proxy 2 proxies in a row</li> <li>▪ maybe a wiki helps also in future</li> </ul>
00:20	Architecture	<a href="#">Pawel Slowikowski</a>	<p>Feedback notification to Policy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Policy vs Analytics - where to implement?</li> <li>▪ Issue no use case driving this topic yet</li> <li>▪ Spec says - notification yes, but not info about its processing</li> <li>▪ Notification receiver - currently logs the notification</li> </ul> <p><b>from Slack:</b></p> <p>Hi O-RAN-ONAP experts! I have one question regarding the feedback policy operation, do you plan (or have some idea) how this operation should be supported in ONAP (as an SMO)?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> We have a plan - but there are several options and it is not clear which one to choose</p> <p><b>follow-up question:</b> What should be done with such notifications?</p> <p><b>potential use case:</b> UE movement clean up of Policies when moving from one Near-RT-RIC to the next</p> <p>Currently, we have only the status_notification_uri field in the policy preload available. But the http server is missing on the A1-P Consumer site (ONAP-PMS, SDNC/A1 Adapter) that will respond to this POST request (feedback) from the Near RT RIC site.</p> <p>How is the community vision on this topic? I wonder that this "feedback" should be handler by R-APPs or the PMS will be responsible for that?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> might be use case driven</p> <p>I saw that the community not use this slack channel but this is my first attempt to start some discussion here. Also this topic can be handler during our weekly call <a href="#">blocked URL</a></p> <p><b>we will be better</b> 😊</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ maybe a discussion wiki helps here too</li> </ul>
00:23	UI for A1- Policy		<p>There is a UI in O-RAN-SC Non-RT-RIC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ can be reused by ONAP</li> <li>▪ how to start-up this UI? - there is a way - also was part of ONAP portal (unmaintained)</li> </ul>
00:34	ves:stdnDefined	<a href="#">Damian Nowak</a>	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="https://github.com/onap/testsuite/blob/master/robot/assets/dcae/ves_stdnDefined_3GPP-FaultSupervision.json">https://github.com/onap/testsuite/blob/master/robot/assets/dcae/ves_stdnDefined_3GPP-FaultSupervision.json</a></li> <li>• <a href="https://github.com/onap/testsuite/blob/master/robot/assets/dcae/ves_stdnDefined_3GPP-Heartbeat.json">https://github.com/onap/testsuite/blob/master/robot/assets/dcae/ves_stdnDefined_3GPP-Heartbeat.json</a></li> <li>• <a href="https://github.com/onap/testsuite/blob/master/robot/assets/dcae/ves_stdnDefined_3GPP-PerformanceAssurance.json">https://github.com/onap/testsuite/blob/master/robot/assets/dcae/ves_stdnDefined_3GPP-PerformanceAssurance.json</a></li> <li>• <a href="https://github.com/onap/testsuite/blob/master/robot/assets/dcae/ves_stdnDefined_3GPP-Provisioning.json">https://github.com/onap/testsuite/blob/master/robot/assets/dcae/ves_stdnDefined_3GPP-Provisioning.json</a></li> </ul>
END			

00:??	Use Case	@all	<p><b>OSC Proposed e2e integration use case: O-RU FH connection recovery</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>REF/Background: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(OAM) Closed loop use case</li> <li>(RSAC) Meeting 14 Jan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Slides: <a href="https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/download/attachments/1179971/RU_failure_recovery_use_case.pptx?version=1&amp;modificationDate=1610637325824&amp;api=v2">https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/download/attachments/1179971/RU_failure_recovery_use_case.pptx?version=1&amp;modificationDate=1610637325824&amp;api=v2</a></li> <li>Recording: <a href="https://zoom.us/rec/share/mKYOGk3mgpvlo2Hx1eY5Oifyw9qV9NQd7NiEj-FxQKP0kUpWoc7yvMO_LLPhA_M.lmx2LCh7-QwDHAFi">https://zoom.us/rec/share/mKYOGk3mgpvlo2Hx1eY5Oifyw9qV9NQd7NiEj-FxQKP0kUpWoc7yvMO_LLPhA_M.lmx2LCh7-QwDHAFi</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li><a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> provided intro <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Alarm when fronthaul connection dies (O-DU - O-RU) (VES to SMO)</li> </ul> </li> <li><a href="#">John Keeney</a> If there is a failure both O-DU &amp; O-RU will try reconnect anyway - without this loop? <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> Yes.</li> <li>@? How does SMO connect to O-RU? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> This is a mix of different deployment options (hierarchical vs hybrid, O1 vs M-Plane). Main issue is agreeing models &amp; terminology.</li> </ul> </li> <li><a href="#">John Keeney</a> Seems this use case is not real-world useful, especially since recovery will happen automatically anyway, &amp; mix of standards ...</li> <li><a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> <a href="#">John Keeney</a> Using this use case as longer term motivator for SMO/NONRTRIC/rApp development - independent of usecase</li> <li>Topology ??: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>May need a topology service for this use case - <a href="#">John Keeney</a> Will use a simple file/hardcoded for initial versions of this use case.</li> <li><a href="#">Pawe Pawlak</a> <a href="#">Andy Mayer</a> Topology in A&amp;AI, CPS ? <a href="#">John Keeney</a> No - not initially. Plan to keep it simple for this release.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>&lt;-bridge dropped recording stopped at this point. Most participants reconnected once bridge started again&gt;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Topology ??: (contd) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">John Keeney</a> Lots of options for how this should be done properly in ONAP.</li> <li><a href="#">Swaminathan Seetharaman</a> ONAP usecases already have some plans for this e.g. slicing, oof, etc</li> <li><a href="#">Andy Mayer</a> A model should be agreed in ONAP. Lots of previous discussions in ONAP - e.g. what goes in A&amp;AI and CPS ... but that was a while ago.</li> <li><a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> SDNR has some topology model too - not standards based.</li> <li>@Sven <a href="#">John Keeney</a> <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> Lots of issues with different topology models ... and most existing hierarchical models break down in a 5G/CloudRan environment</li> <li>Lots of work ... <a href="#">Andy Mayer</a> <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> Can create a JIRA in ONAP MODCOM ...</li> <li>... but will keep it simple here for this use case.</li> </ul> </li> <li>@? Will other O1 usecases be effected? <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> i No. O1 functions continue as before.</li> <li><a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> Please add feedback if any</li> </ul>
-------	----------	------	--

## Action items

