

# 2021-04-14 Meeting notes (ONAP/O-RAN-SC/SMO - Meeting) - Agenda

14 Apr 2021 | 9am PDT | noon EDT | 16:00 UTC | 18:00 CEST | 21:30 IST

Note:

- North American daylight saving 2021 starts March14
- European       daylight savings 2021starts March28

ZOOM: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89069708424?pwd=aGJOZm54eTUxd0FXR0VCU1N0ejBrUT09>  
Meeting ID: 890 6970 8424

## Attendees

- Alex Stancu
- Abhinav Singh
- Alessandro Gerardo D'Alessandro
- Amy Zwarico
- Andrea Buldorini
- Andy Mayer
- @Anh Le
- Claudio David Gasparini
- Damian Nowak
- Dibas Das
- @Dmytro Gassanov
- emmanuel sarris
- Fernando Oliveira
- Grzegorz Wielgosinski
- HariomGupta(HCL)
- Joachim Blíxt
- John Keeney
- Kamel Idir
- user-7f92d
- Konrad Baka
- Kuldeep Negi
- Lasse Kaihlavirta
- Lathishbabu Ganesan
- Manoj Nair
- Martin Skorupski
- marcin krasowski
- Michela Bevilacqua
- Paulo Costa
- Paweł Słowikowski
- Ruslan Kashapov
- Sonia Sangari
- Scott Blandford
- subhash kumar singh
- Swaminathan Seetharaman
- Timo Perala
- @Vidhu Pandey
- Zu Qiang (Ericsson)
- Sven van der Meer

Please add/remove yourself. Thanks!

## Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
00:00	Admin	Martin Skorupski	<p><b>Next meetings:</b></p> <p>2021-04-21: <a href="#">John Keeney</a></p> <p>2021-04-28: <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a></p> <p>2021-05-05: <a href="#">John Keeney</a></p> <p>2021-05-12: <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a></p>

## Informed

- Andrea Buldorini
- Alexander Dehn
- George Clapp
- Herbert Eiselt
- KAPIL SINGAL
- Tracy Van Brakle

## See also

- [2021-04-14 Meeting notes - Joint OAM / NONRTRIC / SIM / SMO SCRUM meeting](#)

## Goals

- share information between
  - O-RAN-SC Non-RT-RIC
  - O-RAN-SC OAM
  - O-RAN-SC SMO
  - ONAP CCSDK/SDNC/SDN-R
  - LFN 😊

## Recording

- Recording (cloud)
- Recording (mp4 - low res)

00:05	O-RAN-SC	O-RAN-SC PTLs	<p>Status reports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ <b>Non-RT-RIC:</b> John Keeney</li> <li>■ <b>SIM:</b> Alex Stancu</li> <li>■ <b>OAM:</b> Martin Skorupsk           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ looking int VES stdnDefined based on DMAaP</li> <li>▪ late changes of API - but all good</li> <li>▪ Request for a NF-OAM-ADAPTER</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
00:15	LFnetworking		<p><a href="https://wiki.lfnetworking.org/display/LN/5G+Super+Blueprint+Timeline?preview=/53608675/53608679/5G%20%20Slicing%20%20O-RAN%20SC%20%20MEC%20.png">https://wiki.lfnetworking.org/display/LN/5G+Super+Blueprint+Timeline?preview=/53608675/53608679/5G%20%20Slicing%20%20O-RAN%20SC%20%20MEC%20.png</a></p> <p>Martin Skorupski my personal understanding 2021-04-04:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• O-RAN-SC RAN</li> <li>• Magma Core</li> <li>• ONAP (including O-RAN-SC Non-RT-RIC) SMO</li> </ul> <p>Specs from 3GPP (core, N1, N2, N3, E1, F1, X?) and O-RAN (A1, O1(based on 3GPP), O2, OpenFrontaul, E2)</p> <p>@all: Please correct, in case of my misinterpretations.</p> <p>Kenny Paul</p> <p>5G Super Blueprint links Landing Page: <a href="https://www.lfnetworking.org/5g-super-blueprint/">https://www.lfnetworking.org/5g-super-blueprint/</a></p> <p>Wiki Page: <a href="https://wiki.lfnetworking.org/x/EAADAw">https://wiki.lfnetworking.org/x/EAADAw</a></p> <p>Mailing List/Calendar: <a href="https://lists.lfnetworking.org/g/lfn-demo">https://lists.lfnetworking.org/g/lfn-demo</a></p>
00:30	SMO functionality and alignment between ONAP and O-RAN SC	Swaminathan Seetharaman	<p>SMO functionality and alignment between ONAP and O-RAN SC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Swami, John and Martin: slide set was presented</li> <li>■ There was a meeting between ONAP TSC and O-RAN-SC ToC - including LFN</li> </ul> <div style="text-align: center; border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; width: fit-content; margin: auto;">  <p>ONAP_ORA...v1.0.pdf</p> </div> <p>Some thoughts: <a href="https://www.lfnetworking.org/5g-super-blueprint/">https://www.lfnetworking.org/5g-super-blueprint/</a></p>
00:15	Q/A		
			<p>Use Case</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ VES collector 1.9.0</li> <li>■ onap-sdnc 2.31</li> <li>■ DMAaP 1.1.8</li> </ul> <p>k8s</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ multi helm</li> </ul>
			<p>yang files</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ admin - unlocked Connection should be established works as expected</li> <li>■ admin - locked Connection should be shut down action by O-DU to close the connection</li> </ul> <p>AI: check yang models.</p>
			<p>for agenda next week</p> <p>Claudio David Gasparini some insides from CPS - thanks!</p>
END			

00:??	Use Case	@all	<p><b>OSC Proposed e2e integration use case: O-RU FH connection recovery</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>REF/Background: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(OAM) Closed loop use case</li> <li>(RSAC) Meeting 14 Jan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Slides: <a href="https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/download/attachments/1179971/RU_failure_recovery_use_case.pptx?version=1&amp;modificationDate=1610637325824&amp;api=v2">https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/download/attachments/1179971/RU_failure_recovery_use_case.pptx?version=1&amp;modificationDate=1610637325824&amp;api=v2</a></li> <li>Recording: <a href="https://zoom.us/rec/share/mKYOGKB3mgpvlo2Hx1eY50ifyw9qV9NQd7NiEj-FxQKP0kUpWOc7yvMO_LLPhA_M.lmx2LCh7-QwDHAFl">https://zoom.us/rec/share/mKYOGKB3mgpvlo2Hx1eY50ifyw9qV9NQd7NiEj-FxQKP0kUpWOc7yvMO_LLPhA_M.lmx2LCh7-QwDHAFl</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li><b>Martin Skorupski</b> provided intro <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Alarm when fronthaul connection dies (O-DU - O-RU) (VES to SMO)</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>John Keeney</b> If there is a failure both O-DU &amp; O-RU will try reconnect anyway - without this loop? <b>Martin Skorupski</b> Yes.</li> <li>@? How does SMO connect to O-RU? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Martin Skorupski</b> This is a mix of different deployment options (hierarchical vs hybrid, O1 vs M-Plane). Main issue is agreeing models &amp; terminology.</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>John Keeney</b> Seems this use case is not real-world useful, especially since recovery will happen automatically anyway, &amp; mix of standards ...</li> <li><b>Martin Skorupski John Keeney</b> Using this use case as longer term motivator for SMO /NONRTRIC/App development - independent of usecase</li> <li>Topology ??:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>May need a topology service for this use case - <b>John Keeney</b> Will use a simple file /hardcoded for initial versions of this use case.</li> <li><b>Pawe Pawlak Andy Mayer</b> Topology in A&amp;AI, CPS ? <b>John Keeney</b> No - not initially. Plan to keep it simple for this release.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>&lt;bridge dropped recording stopped at this point. Most participants reconnected once bridge started again&gt;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Topology ??: (contd) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>John Keeney</b> Lots of options for how this should be done properly in ONAP.</li> <li><b>Swaminathan Seetharaman</b> ONAP usecases already have some plans for this e.g. slicing, oof, etc</li> <li><b>Andy Mayer</b> A model should be agreed in ONAP. Lots of previous discussions in ONAP - e.g. what goes in A&amp;AI and CPS ... but that was a while ago.</li> <li><b>Martin Skorupski</b> SDNR has some topology model too - not standards based.</li> <li>@Sven <b>John Keeney Martin Skorupski</b> Lots of issues with different topology models ... and most existing hierarchical models break down in a 5G/CloudRan environment</li> <li>Lots of work ... <b>Andy Mayer Martin Skorupski</b> Can create a JIRA in ONAP MODCOM ... <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>... but will keep it simple here for this use case.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>@? Will other O1 usecases be effected? <b>Martin Skorupski</b> i No. O1 functions continue as before.</li> <li><b>Martin Skorupski</b> Please add feedback if any</li> </ul>
-------	----------	------	--

## Action items

