

2021-06-30 Meeting notes (ONAP/O-RAN-SC/SMO - Meeting) - Agenda

30 Jun 2021 | 9am PDT | noon EDT | 16:00 UTC | 18:00 CEST | 21:30 IST

ZOOM: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89069708424?pwd=aGJOZm54eTUxd0FXR0VCU1N0ejBrUT09>
Meeting ID: 890 6970 8424

Attendees

- Alex Stancu ★
- Abhinav Singh
- Alessandro Gerardo D'Alessandro
- Amar Kapadia
- Amy Zwarico
- Andrea Buldorini
- Andrew Lamb ★
- Andy Mayer
- @Anh Le
- bhanu chandra
- Claudio David Gasparini ★
- Christophe Closset ★
- Damian Nowak
- bhanu chandra ★
- Dibas Das
- @Dmytro Gassanov
- emmanuel sarris
- Fernando Oliveira ★
- Gervais-Martial Ngueko ★
- Grzegorz Wielgosinski
- HariomGupta(HCL) ★
- Joachim Blixt
- John Keeney ★
- Kamel Idir ★
- user-7f92d
- Konrad Baka
- Kuldeep Negi
- Lasse Kaihlavirta
- Lathishbabu Ganesan
- Manoj Nair
- Martin Skorupski ★
- marcin krasowski ★
- Marcin Wilk ★
- NICHOLAS KARIMI MUIGAI ★
- Michela Bevilacqua
- N.K. Shankaranarayanan ★
- Paulo Costa
- Pawel Slowikowski
- Ruslan Kashapov
- Sonia Sangari ★
- Scott Blandford ★
- subhash kumar singh
- Swaminathan Seetharaman
- Timo Perala ★
- @Vidhu Pandey ★
- William Diego Maza ★
- Zu Qiang (Ericsson) ★
- Sven van der Meer

Informed

- Andrea Buldorini
- Alexander Dehn
- George Clapp
- Herbert Eiselt
- KAPIL SINGAL
- Tracy Van Brakle

See also

- [2021-06-30 Meeting notes - Joint OAM / NONRTRIC / SIM SCRUM meeting](#)

Goals

- share information between
 - O-RAN-SC Non-RT-RIC
 - O-RAN-SC OAM
 - O-RAN-SC O-DU
 - ONAP CCSDK/SDNC/SDN-R
 - LFN 😊

Recording

- [Recording \(MP4 low-res\)](#)

Please add/remove yourself. Thanks!

Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
------	------	-----	-------

00:00	Admin	John Keeney Martin Skorupski	Next meetings: 2021-07-07: Martin Skorupski 2021-07-14: John Keeney 2021-07-21: Martin Skorupski 2021-07-28: John Keeney
00:05	O-RAN-SC	O-RAN-SC PTLs	O-RAN-SC ToC - voting for D-release next week. Status reports: ▪ Non-RT-RIC: John Keeney ▪ releasing the D-Release - branch created ▪ proposal for RASC call - plans of E-Release: rApps and r1-interface ▪ SIM: Alex Stancu ▪ releasing the D-Release - branch created ▪ OSC-lab - ipv6 would be possible - but better move to next version ▪ OAM: Martin Skorupski ▪ releasing the D-Release - branch created ▪ O-DU: HariomGupta(HCL) ▪ We have tested O-DU high with OAM using IPv4 in OSC Lab. ▪ As you suggested, sending Pnf Registration after O-DU high stack is up : final code changes pending for check-in.
00:20	SMO deployment options	Christophe Closset	SMO deployment options ▪ no tested helm for OAM in O-RAN-SC D-Release - idea update the one from C-release ▪ for Non-RT-RIC a new helm is available - still some updates to be done ▪ Please see presentation within  REQ-887 - Deliver ORAN SMO override IN PROGRESS Meeting? on Friday 6/25 https://lists.onap.org/g/onap-meetings/viewevent?repeatid=37969&eventid=1192141&calstart=2021-06-25 ▪ https://lists.onap.org/g/onap-meetings/viewevent?repeatid=37969&eventid=1192141&calstart=2021-06-25 bhanu chandra ▪ https://wiki.onap.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=103422272 ▪ (Updated afterward: Minutes & Recording: https://wiki.onap.org/display/Meetings/2021-06-25+Meeting+notes) ▪ proposed by aarna ▪ see email - TODO add link to wiki ▪ proposal to use this time slot here Activity ▪ kubernetes updates ▪ issues with certs addressed ▪ reuse of O-RAN-SC helm ▪ Winriver lab < Martin Skorupski needed to leave. John Keeney continues minutes. See also: 2021-06-23 Meeting notes - Joint OAM / NONRTRIC / SIM SCRUM meeting >

			• REF: 2 Weeks ago: Proposal for an ONAP deployment specific for an ORAN SMO ◦ Ref: https://jira.onap.org/browse/REQ-887 • @Christophe : Meeting upcoming ◦ Every Friday: ▪ https://lists.onap.org/g/onap-meetings/viewevent?repeatid=37969&eventid=1192141&calstart=2021-06-25 bhanu chandra ▪ https://wiki.onap.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=103422272 ▪ (Updated afterward: Minutes & Recording: https://wiki.onap.org/display/Meetings/2021-06-25+Meeting+notes) ◦ Attempting to replicate functionality in OSC OAM/NONRTRIC/SIM demo - based on OSC charts. ▪ Mainly updating charts etc. ▪ Certs need updating ◦ Will be testing in ONAP WindRiver lab - setup etc. Might be a good place to collaborate. • John Keeney Any update on how to reuse OSC & other external components? ◦ @Christophe, will be challenging in OOM, & need to reuse structure & some charts from OOM • John Keeney Any ideas where SMO would be different from "Full ONAP" ◦ @Christophe: Plan to start with OSC charts/flavour ... then depends on Usecases ... ◦ Hope to be able to make use of the override files to create different flavours .. aiming for something similar to OSC version ▪ Ref Closed loop use case & "D" Release - Closed Loop Use Case: Simulation of O-RU and O-DU & "D" - Closed Loop Use Case • John Keeney Probably need different versions for different usecases ◦ @Christophe ... OOM have this same idea to have multiple flavours. Discussion gets broader when non-ONAP components are added. • @NK Shankar ... Should also consider SON and Slicing usecases. • John Keeney Recall that the OSC D release usecase is not real world ... and will mature in coming releases. Currently mostly treated as an integration requirements driver ◦ Ref Closed loop use case & "D" Release - Closed Loop Use Case: Simulation of O-RU and O-DU & "D" - Closed Loop Use Case • @Christophe @NK Shankar Need to consider diversity of DCAE components used. Also need to figure out how different simulators could co-exist. • Lets continue discussions on Friday Call? INFO from Christophe - 2021-06-30: Just a few notes: • We have agreed with ONAP and other members of O-RAN SC to keep an ad-hoc call on Friday to discuss technical details for now (will remove this meeting once all details are sorted out and use the joint meeting to report status) • Main comment from John is that we cannot consider an SMO deployment without having a way to deploy Non-RT-RIC as well as ONAP components (hence a debate on how this should materialize : extend OOM?) • We have progressed on the POC in the meanwhile, Sebastien had an idea and has pushed a small repository on github to show what we are doing for now to create a unique installation for O-RAN, trying to implement the following idea: ◦ Started from IT/DEP repo, containing some Helm charts for O-RAN (including Non-RT-RIC) ◦ Seems an embryo of using ONAP charts to deploy SMO components but sounded like a fork of ONAP charts ◦ Instead of copy pasting OOM charts, he create a git submodule referring to oom ONAP charts repo (to keep ONAP untouched) ◦ Rework the O-RAN charts to map them to the OOM structure (like OOM'ized O-RAN charts) ◦ Try and build (created some makefiles, inspired from ONAP) then push them to a single chart repository ◦ Merged ONAP override and O-RAN override to have a single file ◦ Created an Install script to deploy the charts in a single Namespace ◦ ... it worked more or less We plan to show that maybe on Friday call (https://wiki.onap.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=103422272) -- Are the following pages related? • CNF/VNF deployments ◦ https://wiki.onap.org/display/DW/Orchestration+Scenarios ▪ https://wiki.onap.org/display/DW/O-RAN:+ASD+solution
00:16	<End>		
00:18		David McBride	M2 declared Istanbul
END			

00:00	Use Case	@all	OSC Proposed e2e integration use case: O-RU FH connection recovery • REF/Background: ◦ (OAM) Closed loop use case ◦ (RSAC) Meeting 14 Jan: ▪ Slides: https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/download/attachments/1179971/RU_failure_recovery_use_case.pptx?version=1&modificationDate=1610637325824&api=v2 ▪ Recording: https://zoom.us/rec/share/mKYOGkB3mgpvlo2Hx1eY5Oifyw9qV9NQd7NiEj-FxQKP0kUpW0c7yvMO_LLPhA_M.lmx2LCh7-QwDHAFi • Martin Skorupski provided intro ◦ Alarm when fronthaul connection dies (O-DU - O-RU) (VES to SMO) • John Keeney If there is a failure both O-DU & O-RU will try reconnect anyway - without this loop? Martin Skorupski Yes. • @? How does SMO connect to O-RU? ◦ Martin Skorupski This is a mix of different deployment options (hierarchical vs hybrid, O1 vs M-Plane). Main issue is agreeing models & terminology. • John Keeney Seems this use case is not real-world useful, especially since recovery will happen automatically anyway, & mix of standards ... • Martin Skorupski John Keeney Using this use case as longer term motivator for SMO/NONRTRIC/rApp development - independent of usecase • Topology ??: ◦ May need a topology service for this use case - John Keeney Will use a simple file/hardcoded for initial versions of this use case. ◦ Pawe Pawlak Andy Mayer Topology in A&AI, CPS ? John Keeney No - not initially. Plan to keep it simple for this release. <bridge dropped recording stopped at this point. Most participants reconnected once bridge started again> • Topology ??: (contd) ◦ John Keeney Lots of options for how this should be done properly in ONAP. ◦ Swaminathan Seetharaman ONAP usecases already have some plans for this e.g. slicing, oof, etc ◦ Andy Mayer A model should be agreed in ONAP. Lots of previous discussions in ONAP - e.g. what goes in A&AI and CPS ... but that was a while ago. ◦ Martin Skorupski SDNR has some topology model too - not standards based. ◦ @Sven John Keeney Martin Skorupski Lots of issues with different topology models ... and most existing hierarchical models break down in a 5G/CloudRan environment ◦ Lots of work ... Andy Mayer Martin Skorupski Can create a JIRA in ONAP MODCOM ... ◦ ... but will keep it simple here for this use case. • @? Will other O1 usecases be effected? Martin Skorupski i No. O1 functions continue as before. • Martin Skorupski Please add feedback if any
-------	----------	------	---

Action items

