

2021-08-04 Meeting notes (ONAP/O-RAN-SC/SMO - Meeting) - Agenda

04 Aug 2021 | 9am PDT | noon EDT | 16:00 UTC | 18:00 CEST | 21:30 IST

ZOOM: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89069708424?pwd=aGJOZm54eTUxd0FXR0VVCU1N0ejBrUT09>
Meeting ID: 890 6970 8424

Attendees

- Alex Stancu ★
- Abhinav Singh
- Alessandro Gerardo D'Alessandro
- Amar Kapadia
- Amy Zwarico
- Andrea Buldorini
- Andy Mayer
- @Anh Le
- bhanu chandra
- Byung-Woo Jun
- Claudio David Gasparini ★
- Christophe Closset ★
- Damian Nowak
- bhanu chandra ★
- Dibas Das
- @Dmytro Gassanov
- emmanuel sarris
- Fernando Oliveira ★
- Gervais-Martial Ngueko ★
- Grzegorz Wielgosinski
- HariomGupta(HCL) ★
- Joachim Blixt
- John Keeney ★
- Kamel Idir ★
- user-7f92d
- Konrad Baka
- Kuldeep Negi
- Lasse Kaihlavirta
- Lathishbabu Ganesan
- Manoj Nair
- Martin Skorupski ★
- marcin krasowski ★
- Marcin Wilk ★
- NICHOLAS KARIMI MUIGAI ★
- Michela Bevilacqua
- N.K. Shankaranarayanan ★
- Paulo Costa
- Pawel Slowikowski
- Ruslan Kashapov
- Sonia Sangari ★
- Scott Blandford ★
- subhash kumar singh
- Swaminathan Seetharaman
- Timo Perala ★
- @Vidhu Pandey ★
- William Diego Maza ★
- Zu Qiang (Ericsson) ★
- Sven van der Meer

Informed

- Andrea Buldorini
- Alexander Dehn
- George Clapp
- Herbert Eiselt
- KAPIL SINGAL
- Tracy Van Brakle

See also

- [2021-08-04 Meeting notes - Joint OAM / NONRTRIC / SIM SCRUM meeting](#)

Goals

- share information between
 - O-RAN-SC Non-RT-RIC
 - O-RAN-SC OAM
 - O-RAN-SC O-DU
 - ONAP CCSDK/SDNC/SDN-R
 - LFN 😊

Recording

- [Recording \(MP4 low-res\)](#)

Please add/remove yourself. Thanks!

Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
------	------	-----	-------

00:00	Admin	John Keeneey Martin Skorupski	<p>Next meetings:</p> <p>2021-08-11: Martin Skorupski</p> <p>2021-08-18: Martin Skorupski</p> <p>2021-08-25: John Keeneey</p> <p>2021-09-01: Martin Skorupski</p>
00:08	O1-VES	Stanislav Marszalek user-7f92d	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> O1-VES collection (@33:30 in recording) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PM Mapper - for PM Bulk <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stanislav Marszalek Stanislaw Marszalek Slides: <div data-bbox="630 428 932 726" data-label="Image"> </div> O1 is just via ves.fileReady FTPes issues in PM-Mapper should be handle via Jira ans slack <ul style="list-style-type: none"> https://lists.onap.org/g/onap-discuss/message/23433 DCAEGEN2-2874 PM Mapper output - sMeasTypesList <div data-bbox="630 821 1122 856" data-label="Image"> </div> DCAEGEN2-2873 PM Mapper output - granularityPeriod issue <div data-bbox="630 890 1268 926" data-label="Image"> </div> issues in VES spec are more problematic, as O-RAN Alliance is using the domain "stdnDefined" <p>INFO: PM Streaming: ASN.1 via WebSocket according to O-RAN Spec - O-RAN Operations and Maintenance Interface 4.0 - November 2020</p> <p>Topic was discussed in DCAE Meeting: 2021-08-04 DCAE Meeting Notes</p> <p>HV-VES vs "standard" VES collector</p> <p>info: HV-VES not used by OOF-SON use case (yet)</p> <p>info: no relation between HV-VES and PM-Mapper</p>
00:01	O-RAN-SC	O-RAN-SC PTLs	<p>O-RAN-SC ToC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> new o-ran license "SSCL" - not sure how to use (yet) - extra repos for such license. guidance requested PressRelease for D-Release ongoing... repo clean up Non-RT-RIC: John Keeneey <ul style="list-style-type: none"> maintenance release progress plans for e-release support of rApp presentation in about 2weeks SIM: Alex Stancu OAM: Martin Skorupski O-DU: HariomGupta(HCL)
00:37	E-Release use cases		<p>Very early discussions!</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disaggregated VES discussion to see if there is any feedback from the group <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussed at RSAC/SMO call last week: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slides see: https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/download/attachments/1179971/Disaggregated%20VES%20-%20OSC%20Release%20E.pptx?api=v2 Recording: https://zoom.us/rec/share/ENNFTIViSpkG6rBCzF-myIbR2i9WPzje9v7dBL0fGAI2ZM0uveyHqqBP0SkLGa3s.GQS0sL3rPgPErPsc We could discuss the O-DU-high / O1 closed loop use case from RSAC? called also : "Slice Resource Quota Provisioning and Assurance" <p>new version: https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/display/RSAC/E+release+planning</p>
00:40	SMO		

deployment options

Christophe Closset

SMO deployment options

- no tested helm for OAM in O-RAN-SC D-Release - idea update the one from C-release
- for Non-RT-RIC a new helm is available - still some updates to be done
- Please see presentation within  **REQ-887** - Deliver ORAN SMO override IN PROGRESS

Meeting? on Friday 6/25

<https://lists.onap.org/g/onap-meetings/viewevent?repeatid=37969&eventid=1192141&calstart=2021-06-25>

- <https://lists.onap.org/g/onap-meetings/viewevent?repeatid=37969&eventid=1192141&calstart=2021-06-25> bhanu chandra
- <https://wiki.onap.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=103422272>
 - (Updated afterward: Minutes & Recording: <https://wiki.onap.org/display/Meetings/2021-06-25+Meeting+notes>)
- proposed by aarna
- see email - TODO add link to wiki
- proposal to use this time slot here

Activity

- kubernetes updates
- issues with certs addressed
- reuse of O-RAN-SC helm
- Winriver lab

<Martin Skorupski needed to leave. John Keeney continues minutes. See also: [2021-06-23 Meeting notes - Joint OAM / NONRTRIC / SIM SCRUM meeting](#) >

- REF: 2 Weeks ago: Proposal for an ONAP deployment specific for an ORAN SMO
 - Ref: <https://jira.onap.org/browse/REQ-887>
- @Christophe : Meeting upcoming
 - Every Friday:
 - <https://lists.onap.org/g/onap-meetings/viewevent?repeatid=37969&eventid=1192141&calstart=2021-06-25> bhanu chandra
 - <https://wiki.onap.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=103422272>
 - (Updated afterward: Minutes & Recording: <https://wiki.onap.org/display/Meetings/2021-06-25+Meeting+notes>)
 - Attempting to replicate functionality in OSC OAM/NONRTRIC/SIM demo - based on OSC charts.
 - Mainly updating charts etc.
 - Certs need updating
 - Will be testing in ONAP WindRiver lab - setup etc. Might be a good place to collaborate.
- **John Keeney** Any update on how to reuse OSC & other external components?
 - @Christophe, will be challenging in OOM, & need to reuse structure & some charts from OOM
- **John Keeney** Any ideas where SMO would be different from "Full ONAP"
 - @Christophe: Plan to start with OSC charts/flavour ... then depends on Usecases ...
 - Hope to be able to make use of the override files to create different flavours .. aiming for something similar to OSC version
 - Ref [Closed loop use case & "D" Release - Closed Loop Use Case: Simulation of O-RU and O-DU & "D" - Closed Loop Use Case](#)
- **John Keeney** Probably need different versions for different usecases
 - @Christophe ... OOM have this same idea to have multiple flavours. Discussion gets broader when non-ONAP components are added.
- @NK Shankar ... Should also consider SON and Slicing usecases.
- **John Keeney** Recall that the OSC D release usecase is not real world ... and will mature in coming releases. Currently mostly treated as an integration requirements driver
 - Ref [Closed loop use case & "D" Release - Closed Loop Use Case: Simulation of O-RU and O-DU & "D" - Closed Loop Use Case](#)
- @Christophe @NK Shankar Need to consider diversity of DCAE components used. Also need to figure out how different simulators could co-exist.
- Lets continue discussions on Friday Call?

INFO from Christophe - 2021-06-30:

Just a few notes:

- We have agreed with ONAP and other members of O-RAN SC to keep an ad-hoc call on Friday to discuss technical details for now (will remove this meeting once all details are sorted out and use the joint meeting to report status)
- Main comment from John is that we cannot consider an SMO deployment without having a way to deploy Non-RT-RIC as well as ONAP components (hence a debate on how this should materialize : extend OOM?)
- We have progressed on the POC in the meanwhile, Sebastien had an idea and has pushed a small repository on github to show what we are doing for now to create a unique installation for O-RAN, trying to implement the following idea:
 - Started from IT/DEP repo, containing some Helm charts for O-RAN (including Non-RT-RIC)
 - Seems an embryo of using ONAP charts to deploy SMO components but sounded like a fork of ONAP charts
 - Instead of copy pasting OOM charts, he create a git submodule referring to oom ONAP charts repo (to keep ONAP untouched)
 - Rework the O-RAN charts to map them to the OOM structure (like OOM'ized O-RAN charts)
 - Try and build (created some makefiles, inspired from ONAP) then push them to a single chart repository
 - Merged ONAP override and O-RAN override to have a single file
 - Created an Install script to deploy the charts in a single Namespace
 - ... it worked more or less

We plan to show that maybe on Friday call (<https://wiki.onap.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=103422272>)

--

Are the following pages related?

- CNF/VNF deployments
 - <https://wiki.onap.org/display/DW/Orchestration+Scenarios>
 - <https://wiki.onap.org/display/DW/O-RAN:+ASD+solution>

2021-07-07:

way forward

- release helm repo by OOM should be created
 - (Added later: [John Keeney](#) Also asked about getting a helm chart repo for OSC. <https://lists.o-ran-sc.org/g/discuss/message/331>)
- demo was shown last Friday how it could look like
- next step
 - sample overwrite files
- if access to the "demo git" is required, please reach out to [Christophe Closset Sébastien Determe Gervais-Martial Ngeuko](#)

2021-07-14:

- <https://github.com/gmngueko/oran-deployment/pull/1>
- in non-overwrite helm only docker from "released" nexus should be included...

2021-07-21:

- from [John Keeney](#) LFN ticket required to put helm into nexus
- control about helm from different project

2021-07-28:

- not much additional features required for SMO deployment.
- next step in the area of CNF deployment in combination with SMO
 - as demoed one year ago by Samsung (including closed loop and A1 Policies)
 - use case will be updated to Honolulu

2021-08-04:

- no updates on last weeks call
- cnf deployment - reuse of existing use case implementation.

	Q/A		
00:21	<End>		
END			

00:00	Use Case	@all	<p>OSC Proposed e2e integration use case: O-RU FH connection recovery</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • REF/Background: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ (OAM) Closed loop use case ◦ (RSAC) Meeting 14 Jan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Slides: https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/download/attachments/1179971/RU_failure_recovery_use_case.pptx?version=1&modificationDate=1610637325824&api=v2 ▪ Recording: https://zoom.us/rec/share/mKYOGkB3mgpvlo2Hx1eY5Oifyw9qV9NQd7NiEj-FxQKP0kUpWoc7yvMO_LLPhA_M.lmx2LCh7-QwDHAFi • Martin Skorupski provided intro <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Alarm when fronthaul connection dies (O-DU - O-RU) (VES to SMO) • John Keeney If there is a failure both O-DU & O-RU will try reconnect anyway - without this loop? Martin Skorupski Yes. • @? How does SMO connect to O-RU? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Martin Skorupski This is a mix of different deployment options (hierarchical vs hybrid, O1 vs M-Plane). Main issue is agreeing models & terminology. • John Keeney Seems this use case is not real-world useful, especially since recovery will happen automatically anyway, & mix of standards ... • Martin Skorupski John Keeney Using this use case as longer term motivator for SMO/NONRTRIC/rApp development - independent of usecase • Topology ??: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ May need a topology service for this use case - John Keeney Will use a simple file/hardcoded for initial versions of this use case. ◦ Pawe Pawlak Andy Mayer Topology in A&AI, CPS ? John Keeney No - not initially. Plan to keep it simple for this release. <p><bridge dropped recording stopped at this point. Most participants reconnected once bridge started again></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Topology ??: (contd) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ John Keeney Lots of options for how this should be done properly in ONAP. ◦ Swaminathan Seetharaman ONAP usecases already have some plans for this e.g. slicing, oof, etc ◦ Andy Mayer A model should be agreed in ONAP. Lots of previous discussions in ONAP - e.g. what goes in A&AI and CPS ... but that was a while ago. ◦ Martin Skorupski SDNR has some topology model too - not standards based. ◦ @Sven John Keeney Martin Skorupski Lots of issues with different topology models ... and most existing hierarchical models break down in a 5G/CloudRan environment ◦ Lots of work ... Andy Mayer Martin Skorupski Can create a JIRA in ONAP MODCOM ... ◦ ... but will keep it simple here for this use case. • @? Will other O1 usecases be effected? Martin Skorupski i No. O1 functions continue as before. • Martin Skorupski Please add feedback if any
-------	----------	------	---

Action items

