

Casablanca Risks

This centralized page, for all Casablanca projects, is aimed at identifying the risks as they are foreseen within the release life cycle.

A Risk that materialized becomes an Issue.

Status:

- Identified: a risk that has been identified, but has not yet been analyzed / assessed yet
- Assessed: an identified risk which currently has no risk response plan
- Planned: an identified risk with a risk response plan
- In-Process: a risk where the risk response is being executed
- Closed: a risk that occurred and is transferred to an issue or the risk was solved/avoided
- Not occurred: a risk that was identified but that did not occur
- Rejected: created and kept for tracking purposes but considered not to be used yet

Risk ID	Project Team or person identifying the risk	Identification Date	Risk (Description and potential impact)	Team or component impacted by the risk	Mitigation Plan (Action to prevent the risk to materialize)	Contingency Plan - Response Plan (Action in case of the risk materialized)	Probability of occurrence (probability of the risk materialized) High /Medium /Low	Impact High /Medium /Low	Status
1	Katel34	6/27/2018	CLI Badging - Casablanca Release Criteria is about addressing test coverage (including JS) Therefore some projects might not pass their CLI Badging.	Any Project team who has JS as part of their code and who will not have enough bandwidth	Find an alternative to the current proposal https://lists.onap.org/g/Onap-seccom/topic/cli_badging_passing_level/22721721?p=,,20,0,0,0::recentpostdate%2Fsticky,,,20,2,0,22721721	If it is confirmed that the solution (https://lists.onap.org/g/Onap-seccom/topic/cli_badging_passing_level/22721721?p=,,20,0,0,0::recentpostdate%2Fsticky,,,20,2,0,22721721) is the right way to move forward then we believe that we should split the JS test coverage into several phases that will be implemented across multiple ONAP releases depending on each project's bandwidth: Phase 1 – Setup the infrastructure Phase 2- Analyze the SONAR test coverage and build a plan to meet JS test coverage criteria Phase 3- Add test cases to meet the JS test coverage criteria	High	Low since agreement on the Mitigation Plan	In-Process 6/27/18 update: Current proposal presented to Subcommittee to provide z 7/25/18 update: Proposal accepted by the : test coverage is descope release with the assumption perform a pilot (setup infra test cases) as part of the C https://wiki.onap.org/display/Languages+supported+fo 08/23/18 update: Closed Agreement to close this ris

2	Portal	6/27/2018	Policy, VID apps that use portal/sdk will be directly impacted under S3P for logging support from portal/sdk due to lack of resources;	Policy, VID, Portal	Requesting open community for resources who can help with logging in portal/sdk. 20181005: update Helped with exposing their HTTPS/SSL nodeport under ☒ OOM-1455 - new node port for Portal's HTTPS port CLOSED	Actively looking for resources to support the logging integration from Portal perspective.	High	High	Closed - Sep 27 2018: This is moved to Dublin  LOG-534 Alignment: Portal CLOSED - Aug 09 2018: Mike looking into the changes needed logging perspective portal/sdk can be Policy, VID and F As long as the log in portal/sdk by A risk can be reduced "Medium" and will consume the sdk be closed. 20180726: Log to /AT&T) will work policy on deployment alignment, aaf se  LOG-534 Alignment: Portal containers for Logging Case
---	--------	-----------	--	---------------------	--	--	------	------	---

3	Portal	6/27/2018	Policy, VID, AAI, SDC will be impacted under Security for AAF role management support required from portal /sdk due to lack of resources;	Policy, VID, AAI, SDC, Portal	Requesting open community for resources who can help with AAF role management and CADI integration in portal/sdk.	SDC, Policy, VID teams agreed that they maintain both HTTP and HTTPS ports open, so that the Portal is not completely broken.	High	Medium	Closed - Sep 21 2018: Th integrate with AA via REST as des detail. So the Poi portal/sdk versioi Casablanca and CADI is deferred - Sep 06 2018: Po with AAF team (J and found few ini however workarc and is being worl - Portal tea of integral in SDK to already hi communic User Role REST. - To SDC, I We strong you start t current ve 2.4.0 (av: repository help with i process. C integration implemen at that poi just bump from 2.4.C anticipatir the client Please nc aware of t confirm: - SE Us on toc ac ap br Ca no Sy Au - Ce Me res inc an - Aug 09 2018: Si Ramasubramani, stepped up requ AAF - CADI risk. resources analyz commit for the E integration into p risk priority can b High to Medium. - Proposal: CADI inte to comple the work t by any res too late fo teams to (same Cas the propo portal-sdk Casablan available depends (AAF to pic then plan integrated teams in r release).
---	--------	-----------	---	-------------------------------	---	---	------	--------	--

4	Portal	6/27/2018	OOM deployment is impacted if the DB scaling changes are not supported by Portal team; also the changes for simplification of etc/hosts entries impacts the OOM deployment which is not committed by Portal team so far due to lack of resources.	OOM, Portal	Requesting open community for resources who can help with deployment upgrades in Portal using OOM.	OOM team is looking into the OOM integration related Portal JIRA items, we may expect some support or contribution, but not committed yet.	Medium	Medium	Closed - Sep 27 2018: In the OOM Team new the common DB of - Sep 06 2018: OC Khosla) working to address the artifacts that can be used applications (in the - Aug 09 2018: OC into the OOM into Portal JIRA items some support or committed yet. A the risk can be re Medium.
5	Policy	7/10/2018	Scale Out Use Case: Moving to Dmaap based API call to SO for Scale out. This API was for both VID and Policy to use instead of the REST call for simplicity.	Policy	It doesn't look like the SO team has any epic or user story for developing this work in their M1 planning template.	Fallback to using the current RESTful API to make the call - but this may not be sufficient to satisfy the Use Case.	High	High	The following JIRA was created: SO-734 - SO must scaleout requests CLOSED Seshu Kumar Mudiganti - I of this work that your AT&T Pamela Dragosh - There is 676 created for the Scaleo 26 Jul 2018 Marking Clos during TSC.
6	OOM	7/24/2018	Helm Chart transfer of ownership to project teams.	Prevents project teams from owning helm charts for their components. But more importantly, prevents CI/CD.	Need LF to complete work started in Beijing (ticket # 53102) that addresses: - trigger builds per project instead of all projects once daily - build related Helm charts per project - publish Helm artifacts to LF hosted Helm repo OOM-752 - LF support for Helm build job and repository CLOSED is blocking OOM-1242 - Transfer helm chart ownership to remaining teams CLOSED Work has now started and meetings are ongoing - will be addressed shortly.		High	High	Assessed
7	CLAMP	7/19/2018	DCAE-DS service template and policy model not committed for Casablanca	DCAE-DS/SDC, CLAMP	need to agree at least on a design during Casablanca release. need to get commitment from SDC /DCAE-DS on development before 8/8, otherwise it will become a stretch goal for Casablanca	CLAMP will fallback on using just the blueprint for C.L distribution from DCAE-DS /SDC	High	Medium	Closed CLAMP will fallback on using just the blueprint for C.L distribution from DCAE-DS /SDC
8	CLAMP	7/19/2018	Policy team not yet sure to support the full api needed for Guard policy	CLAMP, Policy	starting the work using the not final API version given by policy team. guard API is a stretch goal for Casablanca	CLAMP will support scale out using Beijing policy api + new payload to allow injection of SO parameter manually in CLAMP UI.	Medium	Low	Closed Pamela Dragosh - The understands and has started of doing the guard work committed to doing the

9	APPC	7/25/2018	Decisions by team on ScaleOut use case for Casablanca is to have SO continue sending the configuration data via the payload, which means this is a test exercise for APPC. If decision is reversed later, then we will need to reassess doability based on timeline.	APPC, SO	Stick to original decision to have SO continue sending configuration data in the ScaleOut request payload.	Stick to original decision to have SO continue sending configuration data in the ScaleOut request payload.	Low-Medium	Medium	Closed 1/8: Got confirmation to continue sending the data via the payload, which test exercise for APPC
10	APPC	7/25/2018	The requirement for new traffic migration LCM API is not finalized	SDNC CCSDK	Agreement with Ajay: Traffic Migration use case will be planning: 1, Pull Ansible with RESTful server docker image from CCSDK/SDNC, 2, APPC sends request to Ansible server with string of playbook name. 3, VNF owner writes all information in playbook. 4, Ansible server sends RESTful request to VNF in order to do the traffic migration use case. Also in R3, APPC with owner of use case will define Traffic Migration LCM API, then implement the Traffic Migration LCM API in R4.		Low-Medium	Low-Medium	In Progress: Distribute Traffic meet
11	OOF	7/25/2018	Changes to the ONAP Resource Data Model in R3	OOF	While OOF doesn't directly interact with SDNC, it consumes the model information indirectly through SO/AAI (and passing the solution with some of this information back to SO), and will be impacted if the SO/AAI APIs (and key parts of the payload) change in R3. The chance of occurrence of the risk is low assuming that there will be no/minimal changes to the SO-OOF API and the VNF resource models in AAI when documenting the TOSCA models.		Low	Medium	Closed
12	SO	7/19/2018	ATT Ecomp 1806 code merge	SO	The code merge of the seed code of ATT 1806 is getting delayed due to yang model changes. This if further delayed could be an issue for the SO deliverables for Casablanca.	If the code is not merged by July end time frame then we will need to drop the features for casablanca scope.	High	High	Closed
13	SO	7/24/2018	Scale out feature is risky from SO side	SO	The detailed design and the E2E flows are not yet clear and needs to be finalised at the earliest for this feature to go through	The Usecase may not be able to make it in Casablanca without this clarity being bought.	Medium	High	closed
14	VID	8/1/2018	Scale out requirements are not finalized	Scaling use case	Finalize requirements, or fallback to Beijing implementation of scaling out	Meeting today with Scott and Steve (SO)	Medium	Medium	Closed
15	VID	8/1/2018	Need for AAF certification to implement HTTPS support	AAF integration			Medium	Medium	Closed
16	CLAMP	8/10/2018	Need Policy API change to support Logging Specification 1.2	CLAMP, Policy	Try to get resources for the API change	CLAMP, Policy won't be 100% compliant with the spec, will still try to implement other parts	Medium	Medium	Closed this policy api used by CLAMP log spec given that Policy has resources to implement it.
17	Sparky-be	9/10/2018	Without AAF integration sparky can not launch on Https mode. Which means that portal also must launch in http to let sparky launch in http. This also means that CLI requirements won't be met by sparky	Sparky-be, AAI	Portal should be configured to launch using both http and https. When AAI-UI (sparky) needs to be launched portal should be opened on http mode.				

18	Policy	9/19/2018	HPA Use Case There were not enough resources given to support the HPA use case. Although Ericsson and AT&T covered the majority of the work, some parts of the development were not finished: CSIT, and S3P.	Policy	Utilize manual creation of policies as was done in Beijing.	Request Intel to provide resource to finish the work and drive testing for this.	High	Low	
19 <i>done</i>	Logging	10/02/2018	Release multiple 6 jars/1 war in single repo - issues with all or nothing build	logging only	https://lists.onap.org/g/onap-discuss/topic/release_procedure_for_repos/26675742?p=_,20,0,0,0::recentpostdate%2Fsticky,,,20,2,0,26675742 This is the first release of logging with actual jar/war artifacts that may need to be in a 1:1 artifact/repo structure instead	work with LF to manually release each artifact by adjusting the pom structure for each artifact	medium	medium (our artifacts jars /war/docker /kubernetes) are for demo only and not used by any team in onap yet	 LOG-745 - Release by RC0 CLOSED