

# Casablanca SO Security/Vulnerability Report

This table represents the known exploitable and non-exploitable vulnerabilities in third party packages used in the project.

Repository	Group	Impact Analysis	Action
so/libs	com. fasterxml. jackson. core	False positive  Jackson: can be an issue if we leave on default typing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ In SO we do not use default typing. We use strict parsing and validation of deserialized data.</li> <li>◦ There is no unknown source data from which SO reads the application data (xml/json).</li> </ul>	No Action.  All of the existing jackson databind have vulnerabilities issues.
SO	org. eclipse. jetty	Pulled in by Springboot 1.5.13-RELEASE  Note: We don't use jetty, but it is impractical to exclude	Planning for a spring boot upgrade to 2.0 in Dublin.
	com. fasterxml. jackson. core	False positive  Jackson: can be an issue if we leave on default typing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ In SO we do not use default typing. We use strict parsing and validation of deserialized data.</li> <li>◦ There is no unknown source data from which SO reads the application data (xml/json).</li> </ul>	No Action  All of the existing jackson databind have vulnerabilities issues.
	ch.qos. logback	False positive  Pulled in by Springboot 1.5.13-RELEASE.	No Action in Casablanca.  Planning for a spring boot upgrade to 2.0 in Dublin.
	org.slf4j	Pulled in by Springboot 1.5.13-RELEASE and also specified by SO  There is no release version with non vulnerable available.  application should not pass untrusted data into the constructor for the <code>EventDataclass</code> is vulnerable to this attack.	Planning for a spring boot upgrade to 2.0 in Dublin.
	org. apache. tomcat. embed	False positive  Pulled in by Springboot 1.5.13-RELEASE  Note: Tomcat CORS is turned off in our application Not really an issue since the feature is turned off.	No Action.  Planning for a spring boot upgrade to 2.0 in Dublin.
	org. apache. commons	False positive  SO doesn't use any email features in BPMN.  Pulled in by Camunda 7.8.0	No Action for Casablanca.  File for exception in Casablanca, Upgrade Camunda to 1.9.0 in Dublin
	org.slf4j-ext	False positive  not used in SO code  pulled from org.springframework.boot:spring-boot-starter-logging:jar:1.5.13.RELEASE	No Action in Casablanca.
	jetty-http	False positive  no dependency found	Planning for a spring boot upgrade to 2.0 in Dublin.
	logback-classic	False positive  no direct dependency.  pulled from org.springframework.boot:spring-boot-starter-web:jar:1.5.13.RELEASE	Planning for a spring boot upgrade to 2.0 in Dublin.
	Jquery 1.10.2	False positive  We dont have any UI code dependent on JQuery in SO.  Pulled in by Springboot 1.5.13-RELEASE	Planning for a spring boot upgrade to 2.0 in Dublin.
	org. springfra mework. data	Used as the farmework of SO now, upgrade of the spring framework would resolve the issue.  Pulled in by Springboot 1.5.13-RELEASE  There is no non-vulnerable release yet available.  The <code>jQuery</code> package is vulnerable to Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) which is not used in SO currently.	Planning for a spring boot upgrade to 2.0 in Dublin.

	com.h2database	<p>This is used for testing purpose only, no feature impact in production; no vulnerable free version yet</p> <p>The one currently used is with Highest Policy Threat:3</p>	No Action for Casablanca
	commons-fileupload	<p>False positive</p> <p>We don't use any of the file upload features directly in SO code</p> <p>Pulled in by Springboot 1.5.13-RELEASE</p>	<p>No Action required for Casablanca</p> <p>Planning for a spring boot upgrade to 2.0 in Dublin.</p>
	org.googlecode.libphonenumber	<p>False positive</p> <p>JavaScript library for parsing, formatting, and validating international phone numbers.</p> <p>We don't use libphonenumber in SO code, but it is impractical to exclude</p>	No Action for Casablanca
	org.springframework	<p>Artifact : Spring-web 5.0.9.RELEASE is pulled by the Springframework</p> <p>This is a required module, upgrade to springboot 2.0 would help in the resolution.</p> <p>This vulnerability affects applications that depend on either spring-webmvc or spring-webflux. Such applications must also have a registration for serving static resources (e.g. JS, CSS, images, and others), or have an annotated controller that returns an <a href="http://org.springframework.core.io.Resource">org.springframework.core.io.Resource</a>. Currently SO is not found direct dependant on this.</p> <p>releases &gt; 5.0.10.RELEASE would solve the issue,</p>	<p>No Action for Casablanca</p> <p>Planning for a spring boot upgrade to 2.0 in Dublin.</p>
	javax.mail	<p>False positive</p> <p>We aren't using any email features in SO.</p> <p>We don't use javax.mail, but it is impractical to exclude</p>	<p>No Action for Casablanca</p> <p>Planning for a spring boot upgrade to 2.0 in Dublin.</p>
	org.springframework.security	<p>No non-vulnerable release available.</p> <p>Pulled by Springframework, can't be excluded.</p> <p>Switch User Processing Filter should not be configured to avoid this issue.</p>	<p>No Action for Casablanca</p> <p>Planning for a spring boot upgrade to 2.0 in Dublin.</p>