# 2018-11-15 AAI Developers Meeting

## Attachments (including meeting recording)

File Modified

No files shared here yet.

#### **Chat Server**

private group aai-dev on Rocketchat server: http://onap-integration.eastus.cloudapp.azure.com:3000/group/aai-dev

### Agenda Items

#### START RECORDING

Title	Responsible	Status	Last discussed	Notes
"Schemaless" objects in AAI	Chesla Wechsler Jimmy Armani	DONE	15th Nov 2018	Chesla will present a use case that has come up in the modelling subcommittee around using TOSCA-driven object types that are not necessarily set in the global types.
Modeling team R4 discussion, including extra AAI attributes in a schemaless way	Keong Lim	IN PROGRESS	15th Nov 2018	Modelling team having Service Instance thoughts by Chesla Wechsler, which will affect AAI schema.  Also referred from comments on ONAP R4+ Service Modeling Discussion Calls  9)"vhn-portal-url"?"Bandwidth", "QoS", "SLA", etc., attribtutes that not all the services need but still need to be stored in certain service instance: stored as a schemaless field on the service-instance vertex (Chesla will follow up) (my concerns: according to the call, is that ok if we set a "global-type of service" and a "customized-type of service", then mapped it with internal descriptor, and A&AI's model only stores global type in service instance's schema, but stores the customer-faced attributes of service in a schemaless way? Chesla Wechsler Kevin Scaggs Andy Mayer)  See also Modeling 2018-11-13  The service-instance already uses a "metadata" relationship, which can store an arbitrary list of key-value pairs, but perhaps
Schema Service	Manisha Aggarwal	IN PROGRESS	15th Nov 2018	AAI schema Service  11th Oct: Suggested Use Case Proposals for Dynamic AAI Schema Changes based on CCVPN usecase experience
				1st Nov: William Reehil Robby Maharajh Venkata Harish Kajur will review requirement updates and research the open questions so that a final draft can be prepared for implementation  8th Nov: Added AAI Schema Service Use Case Proposals for discussion and planning
AAI GraphGraph	Keong Lim	IN PROGRESS	15th Nov 2018	William Reehil wrote introduction to (proposal for?) A&Al GraphGraph  What must the solution provide?  • a generic interface to interact with the schema and edge information, so it can be accessed via end users and microservices • ability to be configured with any schema given in the set formats (JSON for edge rules, OXM for schema) • ability to easily communicate to an end user a node type's attributes and edge rules when provided with a node type as input
				Looks like an API to reflect on the schema from an instance in the database.  Is there some overlap with the AAI Schema Services?  Is this leveraging AAI Schema Services e.g. as client? proxy? facade? implementation detail?  Is it an alternative to AAI Schema Services?
Spring profiles	user-b8310	OPEN		Do we need the "production" profile?
AAI HAProxy and 2- way-TLS	user-b8310	OPEN		Technical solution to either decommission the proxy or make design changes to AAF to enable client side certificates.
OOM Artifacts	user-b8310	OPEN		Some of our top level OOM deployment artifacts are not unique (i.e. don't take namespace into account as all other deployables), is that intentional?
Return codes and messages for WS	user-b8310	OPEN		Is there a guide for the description of the error message and the error codes? How are new error states (message + code) added?

Copyright license header restricted to 1	user-b8310	OPEN	In the copyright
company			/**
			*======LICENSE_START===================================
			* org.onap.aai
			· =
			* Copyright © 2017-2018 AT&T Intellectual Property. All rights reserved.
=			If there is a company other than AT&T the build fails saying the license header is wrong
AAI Traversal queries	user-b8310	OPEN	The AAI Traversal API is fairly complex, are there examples and descriptions of what the inputs and outputs of the rest api are, or alternative way to gain know-how? Code is quite difficult to read.
AAI test data bootstrap	Keong Lim	OPEN	Looking at AAI usage in OOF - HPA guide for integration testing by Dileep Ranganathan, wondering whether there is a better way to bootstrap AAI test data?
			Generating AAI data
			Note: Required only if the Multicloud has no real cloud-regions and HPA discovery cannot happen.
			If Multicloud team has data for creating the Cloud-region and doesn't have the HPA, then please update the existing data with the flavors with HPA.
			Import the postman collection CASABLANCA_AAI_postman.json     To add/remove HPA Capabilities edit the flavors section in the body of PUT Cloud-
			Region(x)  3. Once all the necessary Use postman to add the complex and cloud regions in the order specified below
			(snip screenshot of specific sequence)  4. Use the GET requests to verify the data.
			(snip screenshot of specific sequence)
			Similarly, Scott Seabolt and J Armstrong / Joss Armstrong wrote for APPC Sample A&Al Data Setup for vLB/vDNS for APPC Consumption and Script to load vLB into AAl:
			The below put_vLB.sh script can be used to submit the vLB data to A&AI in order to run ConfigScaleOut use case. This script and referenced JSON files are used on an AAI instance where the cloud-region and tenant are already defined.
			Potential issues:
			<ul> <li>fragility of static import data file w.r.t. schema changes and version upgrades for each ONAP release?</li> <li>how "common" is this knowledge, i.e. what to load, where to get it, who else should be using it, etc?</li> <li>should it be automated/scripted, rather than manual steps to bootstrap?</li> <li>should it be a simulator program or test harness, rather than a static data file?</li> <li>should it reside within AAI CI/CD jobs for maintenance and upgrade of schema versions?</li> <li>who maintains the data itself? Is there a 'data repository" which can be delegated to other teams, e.g. like documentation repository links in git?</li> <li>how many other teams have similar private stashes of AAI bootstrap data?</li> </ul>
aai-cassandra	Keong Lim	OPEN	Michael O'Brien has documented performance issues in aai-cassandra:
performance issues		OPEN	■ Cloud Native Deployment (search for LOG-376 to find the specific references to AAI) ■ https://jira.onap.org/browse/LOG-3767focusedCommentId=29358&page=com.atlassian.jira.plugin.system.issuetabpanels:comment-tabpanel#comment-29358
			hector has discovered that the stress test jar (liveness probe?) in aai-cassandra is hammering the cpu/ram/hd on the vm that aai is on - this breaks the etcd cluster (not the latency/network issues we suspected that may cause pod rescheduling)
			Is there something that should be tweaked in AAI config? Or documentation on the recommended setup to run the VM?
AAI to slow for OOF /HAS	Keong Lim	OPEN	Under OOF Homing and Allocation Service (HAS) section, Dileep Ranganathan wrote about Project Specific enhancements:
711/10			Outrition Additionals
			Optimize - AAI cache  Use MUSIC or any other alternative in memory caching like Redis etc?
			Ose worst or any order attendance in memory caching line Rebis etc.*     Optimize flavor retrieval from A&AI and Cache the information if necessary
			Similarly to the "AAI too slow for Holmes" item below, this introduction of extra caching of AAI data is a worrisome development and sad indictment of the performance of the system architecture.
			What can we do about this?

Relationship between POMBA Common Model and AAI schema?	Keong Lim	OPEN	Under POMBA Common Model, Geora Barsky and Sharon Chisholm discuss objects that seem to overlap the AAI schema:  Network has direct relationships with PNFs and physical links PNF has direct relationship with p-interfaces and i-interfaces
			Curious to know what is the relationship between the POMBA Common Model and the AAI schema? There seems to be an overlap in these object definitions and relationships.  Is POMBA a potential client for AAI schema services?
			15th Nov: As per Geora's and Sharon's comments:
			POMBA is a client of AAI APIs. It retrieves certain objects from AAI and transforms it into POMBA COMMON model which is aimed to represent flat structure of service instance representation.
			The POMBA model enables us to normalize data from different data sources to facilitate auditing. To save time and work, we often use A&AI as our starting point, but conceptually, this model can have more than is currently or makes sense to have in A&AI.
			So, POMBA needs to evolve independently of AAI, even though it has common ancestry.
			As we are having discussions about the AAI Schema Services with a view to future dynamic schema updates, e.g. via SDC modelling, we will need to be aware of the downstream impacts of such a change.
			I think this needs to be added to the use cases for AAI Schema Services (and GraphGraph?) behaviours.

Postel's Law / Robust Keong Lim While helping UUI team to debug a family of related issues such as OPEN ness Principle for AAI data inputs USECASEUL 183 - create ext-aai-network api can not accept json request CLOSED , found that their JSON request bodies had inserted extra lines that were not acceptable to AAI, e.g. **Not Accepted Input** ext-aai-network: { "aai-id": "VDF", "schema-version": "version-1", "resource-version": "1542082337501" } vs **Expected Input Format** "aai-id": "VDF", "schema-version": "version-1", "resource-version": "1542082337501" } Initially, I thought this could be due to XML-to-JSON translation error, but it could also have been a copy-paste from the output of a GET, e.g. > GET /aai/v14/network/ext-aai-networks HTTP/1.1 "ext-aai-network": [ { "aai-id": "createAndDelete", "schema-version": "version-1", "resource-version": "1542247826990" "aai-id": "aaiId-2", "schema-version": "version-2", "resource-version": "1542029867153" ] }

In the spirit of Postel's Law ("be liberal in what you accept"), could/should AAI accept both variations of the input data above?

There could be additional validation that the element name inside the request body matches the element name in the URL of the API (similar validation is already performed for the key ID value).

It would also allow for simple methods of data transfer/migration, where AAI output is directly accepted as AAI input.

MultiCloud usage of AAI for HPA telemetry /time-series data to OOF	Keong Lim	OPEN		Bin Yang and Lianhao Lu wrote in MULTICLOUD-274 - HPA telemetry data collection and persistence CLOSED :
				HPA telemetry data collection and make it persistent in A&AI, from which OOF can leverage during its decision making process.
				and
				Multi-cloud to collect the data from time-series data services like Prometheus (http://prometheus.io) or openstack Gnocchi, and push them to A&AI based on the data recording & aggregation rules.  and
				The reason why we propose here is that VES mechanism doesn't store the telemetry data into A&AI. And OOF now can only get those kind of data from A&AI.
				Some concerns:
				<ul> <li>how much additional load will this place on AAI?</li> <li>will AAI cope with this load?</li> <li>is AAI suitable for "time-series data"?</li> <li>is "telemetry data" considered to be "active &amp; available inventory"?</li> <li>should OOF access the telemetry/time-series data via other means (not AAI)?</li> </ul>
Purpose of fields in AAI	Keong Lim	OPEN		Dénes Németh wrote in AAI 1104 - Invalid handling of external system certificates CLOSED :
				In think it would be good to answer what is the meaning of the field (collection of PEMs of the CA xor URL)
				Questions:
				Is AAI intended to strictly prescribe how the fields are used and what contents are in the values?     Or does AAI simply reflect the wishes of all the client projects that use it to store and retrieve data?
				Even if (1) is true, AAI is not really in any position to enforce how clients use the data, so really (2) is always true and we need to consult the original producers of the data and the ultimate consumers of the data to document their intended meanings.
				How do we push to have documentation on the purpose and meaning of the fields in AAI?
				Where does all this documentation go?
				Should the documentation be backed up by validation code?
HAproxy in OOM	user-b8310	IN PROGRESS	1st Nov 2018	Why is the pod for HAproxy not named (hard to figure out that there is a proxy), unsure how it is logging and where  James Forsyth creates JIRA tasks to 1. to have the pod named 2. add logging to the proxy
				AAI 1897 - Change the aai pod name to aai-haproxy CLOSED
				AAI 1810 - Add option to enable logging for haproxy docker CLOSED
Jackson	Team	ON HOLD	1st Nov 2018	Security subcommittee has recommended teams move away from jackson, and will be presenting alternatives and asking for
Replacement	Keong Lim	ONTIOLD		an assessment from each project. Our team will need to do an analysis - this would not be trivial, especially given how many of our repos are impacted. As of now, this would be a very high LOE for the team, we need to understand what the recommendation from the SECCOM is before we can provide better details on what the LOE would be.
	Tian Lee			Updated: Using Google gson vs FasterXML Jackson
				10th Oct: Present to Seccom meeting
				15th Oct: Present to PTL meeting
				31st Oct: Debatable whether the cost of swapping Cassandra and changing code is worth the benefit of removing Jackson from the vulnerabilities list.
				On-Hold until James Forsyth consults with other PTLs: PTL 2018-11-05

AAI too slow for Holmes	Keong Lim	IN PROGRESS	1st Nov 2018	Guangrong Fu mentioned AAI in Baseline Measurements based on Testing Results:
noines	CT Paterson			
				Cache the AAI data and refresh them periodically so that Holmes won't have to make
				an HTTP call to AAI every time it tries to correlate one alarm to another.
				The problem for caching is how to know when to update the cached data. Even though the access time may be fast for Holmes, the risk is using out-of-date data, so the correlations will be wrong anyway. Also, duplicating the AAI data outside of AAI is probably a bad architectural decision. Making AAI faster for these use cases would be better.
				Has there been a performance analysis of where the time is spent? Could it help to use ElasticSearch (e.g. as in sparky)? Should Holmes have a batch interface to get more AAI data in fewer calls? Or a better correlation API that results in fewer calls?
				31st Oct: https://lists.onap.org/g/onap-discuss/topic/27805753
				1st Nov:
				Guangrong Fu will try custom queries for queries that took to long to return     The hardware (mainly storage) influences the query speed - need to find out what hardware was the speed test conducted on (Guangrong Fu will provide HW specs)
2 Types of logging in A&AI WS	user-b8310	ON HOLD	1st Nov 2018	There are 2 types of logging in the services
Adai Wo				one read from EELFManager     the other Logger log = Logger.getLogger(
				Is that correct? Shouldn't there be just 1 type?
				1st Nov:
				After Casablanca release investigate logging guidelines and figure out what library to use in order to unify logging within A&Al
Disable unused web services	user-b8310	IN PROGRESS	1st Nov 2018	Could we disable unused (i.e. not integrated) A&AI web services, so that the deployment is faster and the resource footprint is smaller? e.g. Champ (any other ws?)
				Motivation: Decrease the resource footprint for A&AI (ONAP) deployments
				Idea: we could support 2 different deployments 1. full (normal) deployment and 2. barebones deployment. The point of the "barebone" deployment would be to deploy only the essential services necessary for proper functioning of A&AI (leaving out services like cacher, sparky, graphadmin, having 1 cassandra node instead of 3 or 5 etc).
				In order to reduce hardware/cloud costs (mainly the memory footprint) it could be beneficial to support a minimalistic A&AI deployment.
				1st Nov:
				Venkata Harish Kajur and Pavel Paroulek - investigate how to disable/enable charts in A&AI so we can create a core group of pods which handle the use-cases and than extended group will all the services. Consider a group of unused/unintegrated services (like Champ). Consider other possible groups (like GUI?)
AAI Champ	user-b8310	IN PROGRESS	1st Nov 2018	Who is responsible for the project?     What is the roadmap for the project?     Who will do the integration?
Dublin 5G Use Case	Benjamin Cheung	IN PROGRESS	25th Oct 2018	Dublin AAI changes in support of 5g use cases.
				Link for presentation: 5G - PNF Plug and Play (Casablanca carry-over items)
				1. NF A&AI: S/W Image Repository Info 2. NF A&AI: Cloud Home Info 3. NF A&AI: External Manager IP Address 4. NF A&AI: SW Version Object Association