

VF-C Dublin: Deliverables for RC0 Milestone Checklist Template

DRAFT PROPOSAL FOR COMMENTS

The following items are expected to be completed for the project to Pass the Release Candidate Milestone.

This template must be filled out for every Release Candidate Milestone (RC0, 1, 2, x)

[RC Release Candidate Milestone overview is available in wiki.](#)



Usage

1. Use the "Copy" and "Move" options (available under the ..., top right of this page) to duplicate this template into your project wiki.
2. Fill out the Yes/No column
3. Provide link to evidence (when necessary)

| Practice Area | Checkpoint | Yes /No | Evidences | How to? |
|-------------------------|---|---------|---|---|
| Product Management | Are all tasks associated with the release been marked as "Closed" in Jira? | Yes | Link to Jira VFC Backlog | |
| | Have all findings from previous milestones been addressed? | Yes | Provide link to JIRA findings | |
| Development | Have all Defects of priority Highest and High been in status "Closed" in Jira? | Yes | Provide link to JIRA issue (type bug) of priority Highest and High. | |
| | Are all JIRA issues used as a reference in a commit in status "Closed" in Jira? | Yes | | Very often, some JIRA issue remains in status "Todo" in JIRA while the commit they are referenced to is successful. |
| | Is there any pending commit related to Highest and High priority issues older than 36 hours in Gerrit ? In case there are messages going back and forth between authors and reviewers, it is acceptable to be above 36 hours. | No | | Gerrit Query: status:open label:verified -is:draft -label:Code-Review=-1 AND -label:Code-Review=-2 AND is:mergeable age:1week |
| | Are all the Jenkins jobs successfully passed (verify + merge jobs)? | Yes | Provide link to "Merge job" as evidence in Jenkins project tab VF-C Jenkins | https://jenkins.onap.org/view/Merge-Jobs/ |
| | Has the project team reach the Automated Unit Test Code Coverage expectation? (Refer to artifacts available in Sonar) | Yes | Goal: 55% for Incubation project in the current release | Sonar Guidance on Code Coverage and Static Code Analysis Tools: Sonar |
| | Has the team delivered all their release artifacts in Nexus Release repo? | Yes | | Refer to Independent Versioning and Release Process for procedure |
| | There should be at least ONE version of each artifacts available in Nexus Release repo. | | | |
| | Check the certification expiry of your application. It should be valid for the next 9 months after RC0. | Yes | | |
| | | | | |
| Integration and Testing | Have all CSIT Use Cases (created by each project team) passed? | Yes | Provide link to evidence. As things are moving fast, we want to ensure CSIT are still "green". | |
| | It should include at least 1 CSIT that will be run on | | | |
| | Lab-xxx-OOM-Daily Jenkins Job | | | |
| | At RC0, has the Pair Wise testing table been filled out? | Yes | Integration Weather Board for Dublin Release VFC Pair Wise Testing for Frankfurt Release | |
| | At RC0, have all OOM Release Healthcheck related to your project passed? | Yes | | |
| | At RC0, is there a Docker image in Nexus Docker-Release repo available for each repository? | Yes | Provide link to evidence | |

| | | | | |
|---------------|--|-----|--|---|
| | Has the project code successfully passed the Daily Build process? | Yes | VF-C Jenkins | Goal is to ensure the latest project commit has not broken the Integration Daily Build |
| | Has the OOM deployment passed? | Yes | Ensure in Jenkins External Labs all Health Check pass. | |
| | Has the project passed the Integration Sanity Tests? | Yes | | <p>Integration sanity tests in Dublin Release cover:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ONAP deployment • All components health check • VNF onboarding and service creation for vFW use case • Model distribution for vFW • vFW instantiation • vFW closed loop • vFW deletion <p>No test failure reported on http://onapci.org/grafana/d/8cGRqB0mz/daily-summary?orgId=1</p> <p>No Integration Blocking Issue with no workaround: Dublin Release Integration Test Blocking Issues</p> |
| Documentation | For RC0, does the project team has solved their JIRA Documentation Tickets? If not, what are the risks? | Yes | | <p><u>JIRA Query (Bugs Only)</u></p> <p>project != "Sandbox Project" AND project != "ONAP TSC" AND project != CI-Management AND (labels = Documentation OR project = Documentation) AND issuetype= Bug AND fixversion = "Dublin Release" AND status != Closed ORDER BY issuetype DESC, fixVersion ASC, status DESC, priority DESC, updated DESC</p> |
| | For RC1, has the team addressed all the remaining Documentation JIRA tickets ? | | | <p><u>JIRA Query</u></p> <p>project != "Sandbox Project" AND project != "ONAP TSC" AND project != CI-Management AND (labels=Documentation OR project=Documentation) AND status != Closed ORDER BY fixVersion ASC, status DESC, priority DESC, updated DESC</p> |
| | For RC1, is the project prepared for the upcoming 'Ready to review'? | | | Documentation related patches should be reviewed by the documentation team to make sure everyone follows style guides. |
| | For RC2, has the team addressed all the remaining Documentation JIRA tickets identified during the review? | | | <p><u>JIRA Query</u></p> <p>project != "Sandbox Project" AND project != "ONAP TSC" AND project != CI-Management AND (labels=Documentation OR project=Documentation) AND status != Closed ORDER BY fixVersion ASC, status DESC, priority DESC, updated DESC</p> |