

2019-08-20 ONAP Architecture Meeting

Meeting agenda Notes

Key	Summary	Description	Status	Resolution
ONAP ARC-478	ONAP CLI and Architecture	<p>2019-08-20 ArcCom</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Kanagaraj presented: https://wiki.onap.org/download/attachments/50202249/ONAP%20managed%20VNF%20190819.pptx?api=v2• The proposal is to go through the controller to do the config via a controller.• the CLI will have an abstraction to the end user, not VNF specific provisioning• The suggestion is to use CDS for APPC and SDC• It is important to remember that its one controller speaking to a VNF.• Note: Start with healthcheck. CAN be extended towards the VNF configuration.• Northbound of the APPC/SDNC controller, it is assumed to be a single VNF irrespective of how the communication that is done between the controller and the VNF (for the non VFC/EMS approach). For the case of a EMS is used, the communication is via that.• There was a question where the VNFM plug into SO; and a controller can be used to configure there. This was answered that it can be supported for that.• There was a question about how to do the configuration external from the VFC for that path.• Its a PoC for Frankfurt.• For the controller interface contact Oskar Malm and the APPC PTL <p>Kanagaraj Manickam presented about CLI and ONAP.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• CLI is based on Open Command Specification (OCS) engine• Question: Relation with the External API project• Questioning the value of having a CLI for the infrastructure• Comment that its not good to have two interfaces to the VNFs, hence option 1 for teh VNFs and PNFs <p>It was felt that the VNFs/PNFs CLI is worth persuing.</p> <p>There were some other integration with VTP and SO that needs to be taken offline.</p>	<div>OPEN</div>	Unresolved

[1 issue](#)

Recording: [2019-08-20 ArchCom Recording](#)