


Working Group Recommendations

This page captures a draft of the recommendations of the working group on the project maturity reviews in July 2020.

Guidelines used by the working group (above and beyond criteria in the technical community document):

- Committer diversity - prefer 3 or more companies represented; if only 2, then need diversity in companies contributing
- S3P requirements not considered as gating for "mature" phase
- "Mature" phase criteria are more about projects being "active"




Presentation shared at 23 Jul 2020 TSC meeting.

Recordings of the working group meetings:

[maturity_rvw_working_group_20200721.mp4](#)

[maturity_rvw_working_group_20200722.mp4](#)

[maturity_rvw_working_group_20200812.mp4](#)

Project Name	Recommended Disposition on moving from incubation to mature (approve, decline, revert)	Comments (for approve: improvements that could be made, for decline: steps needed to get to approve, for revert: information needed for recommendation)
AAI	approve	recommend improving committer diversity where possible <i>4/16/2021 The sub project ESR is now unmaintained - Project State: Unmaintained</i>
CCSDK	approve	continue improvements in code coverage, S3P, etc.
CLAMP	decline	strong project, but need to improve company diversity in both committers and contributors <i>4/16/2021 The functionalities of this project are now merged with the Policy project -</i> <div> REQ-684 - Merge CLAMP functionality into Policy Framework project DONE</div>
CLI	decline	need participation in the most recent release; need to document architecture review
DCAE	approve	continue improvements in code coverage, S3P, etc.
DMaaP	approve	recommend improving committer diversity where possible; recommend an updated architecture review
External API Framework	approve	improve wiki documentation on release content; continue improvements in code coverage, S3P, etc.
Holmes	decline	need participation in the most recent release; need to increase contributor and committer diversity; need recent architecture review
Modeling	approve	
MSB	revert	please add information on contributors/committers/companies by release; please add information on deployment /use of MSB by operators or others

MultiCloud	revert approve (updated Aug 12, 2020)	please add information on contributors/committers/companies by release; please add information on deployment/use of MultiCloud by operators or others
ONAP Portal	decline	need to improve company diversity in committers; please add information on review by architecture subcommittee; please add information on deployment/use of ONAP Portal by operators or others <i>4/16/2021 The Portal project is now unmaintained - Project State: Unmaintained</i>
OOF	approve	recommend improving committer diversity where possible; recommend an updated architecture review for any components not already reviewed (CMSO?)
Policy Framework	approve	
SDC	approve	conduct a more current architecture review with a focus on overlap of DCAE-D with DCAE-MOD.
SDNC	revert approve (updated Aug 12, 2020)	please update the wiki page with the new committers, add information on test coverage and contributors /committers/companies by release; please add information on deployment/use of SDNC by operators or others
SO	approve	please add information on test coverage; please add information on deployment/use of SO by operators or others
Usecase UI	approve	recommend improving committer diversity where possible
VFC	revert approve (updated Aug 12, 2020)	recommendation for future is to avoid bulk commits and & focus on steady contributions and commits. please address all questions in the comments; also ensure that all committers are active
VNFSDK	revert	please document the review with the architecture subcommittee; please ensure that all committers are active
VVP	decline	need to improve company diversity in committers; please add information on review by architecture subcommittee;