

2020-11-04 Meeting notes (ONAP/O-RAN-SC/SMO - Meeting)

Date

04 Nov 2020 | 9am PST | noon EST | 17:00 UTC | 18:00 CET | 21:30 IST |

Zoom: <https://zoom.us/j/436210993>

Attendees

- [Martin Skorupski](#) ★
- [Alex Stancu](#) ★
- [John Keeney](#) ★
- [Mahesh Jethanandani](#) ★
- [Swaminathan Seetharaman](#)
- [Abhinav Singh](#) ★
- [Alessandro Gerardo D'Alessandro](#) ★
- [Amy Zwarico](#) ★
- [Andrea Buldorini](#) ★
- [@Anh Le](#)
- [Claudio David Gasparini](#) ★
- [Dibas Das](#) ★
- [@Dmytro Gassanov](#)
- [Fernando Oliveira](#) ★
- [Kamel Idir](#) ★
- [Kuldeep Negi](#)
- [Grzegorz Wielgosinski](#)
- [Joachim Blixt](#) ★
- [user-7f92d](#) ★
- [Lasse Kaihlavirta](#) ★
- [Lathishbabu Ganesan](#)
- [Manoj Nair](#)
- [marcin krasowski](#) ★
- [Michela Bevilacqua](#) ★
- [Paulo Costa](#)
- [Pawel Slowikowski](#) ★
- [Scott Blandford](#)
- [Sonia Sangari](#) ★
- [subhash kumar singh](#) ★
- [Timo Perala](#) ★

Please add yourself. Thanks!

Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
00:00	Admin	Martin Skorupski	Next meeting: 2020-11-11
00:05	O-RAN-SC	O-RAN-SC PTLs	Status reports: <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ SMO:<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ ongoing - see last weeks meeting minutes▪ LNF project onboarding▪ Non-RT-RIC:<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ focus O-RAN-SC to use the ONAP Adapter Doc▪ testing an demos in preparation▪ Licensing issues becomes now also in issue▪ SIM:<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ sim/o1-interface: updated code in gerrit<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ working on LF Jenkins jobs for the new code▪ final preparations for Cherry (INT specific tasks)▪ OAM:<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ ONAP SDN-R code adopted for ODL Aluminium module tests ongoing<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ RestConf to NetConf subtree filtering▪ IPv6: End-to-End tests moved to Honolulu▪ GuiCutThrough feature under investigations based on augmentation of ietf-systems

Informed

- [Andrea Buldorini](#)
- [Alexander Dehn](#)
- [@John Ng](#)
- [George Clapp](#)
- [Herbert Eiselt](#)
- [KAPIL SINGAL](#)
- [Tracy Van Brakle](#)

See also

- [2020-11-04 Meeting notes - Joint OAM / NONRTTRIC / SIM / SMO SCRUM meeting](#)

Goals

- share information between
 - O-RAN-SC Non-RT-RIC
 - O-RAN-SC OAM
 - O-RAN-SC SMO
 - ONAP CCSDK/SDNC/SDN-R
 - LFN 😊

Recording

link to the zoom ([mp4 format](#))

00:16	Use Case	Swaminathan Seetharaman	<p>Use Cases</p> <p>Please see page #2 for details: 5G Use Cases in R7 Guillin. -</p> <p>very first view - to be discussed further:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. BULK PM - PM data collection and control <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100% aligned with O-RAN interface specification; use case was demonstrated several times <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ PM collection mechanism aligned to 3GPP <p>proposal: Check updates of 3GPP pm xml file format and updates of VES messages</p> ◦ PM subscription mechanisms aligned to 3GPP <p>proposal: An update could be required in the future for an alignment with recent new 3GPP Parametricjob class</p> 2. OOF -SON PCI (5G) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • use VES 7.2 - reference will be in O-RAN specs. • use 3GPP NRM - see https://forge.3gpp.org/rep/sa5/MnS/ 3. 5G SERVICE MODELING & DEFINITION (5G) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UC under analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ use VES 7.2 - reference will be in O-RAN specs. ◦ use 3GPP NRM - see https://forge.3gpp.org/rep/sa5/MnS/ ◦ open: Integration of A1, O2, R1 interfaces and specifications from O-RAN 4. CONFIGURATION PERSISTENCE SERVICE (CPS) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ONAP provides a persistency data service that can be used for any XNF configuration data (Poc in Rel G, new ONAP component in Rel H) • CPS is model driven and it may use any YANG model adopted by any xNF (e.g. 3GPP YANG models and vendor extensions) • open: is the abstraction by 3GPP and O-RAN sufficient for all the targeted use case - how do μServices, rApps make use of C&PS, if C&PS is device model driven and not service model driven? • Idea: Contribution of consumer view <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Claudio David Gasparini to carry forward (thanks) 5. xNF LICENSING MANAGEMENT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • not subject of O-RAN (yet) • proposal: defer or contribute terms, definitions, specifications to O-RAN 6. ONAP/3GPP & ORAN Alignment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • O-RAN O1 data models are augmenting 3GPP data models • A1, R1, O2 data models are subject of O-RAN only 7. ONAP/ORAN Alignment -A1 adapter <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed alignment/collaboration needed with O-RAN-SC Non-RT-RIC project (For details please reach-out to John Keeney) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ testing: please include Lasse Kaihlavirta 8. 5G NRM Simulator in ONAP (CM) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CM is part of O-RAN OAM Architecture and OAM interface specification • proposal: as a starting point the 3GPP NRM yang models V16.0.5 from July 2020 should be used - for VES the version 7.2 is specified. • note: 5G NRM is not a ONAP Rel G UC 9. E2E NETWORK SLICING (5G Use Case) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Network Slicing is not part of 3GPP NRM so far • proposal: waiting for 3GPP and O-RAN specifications - V17 draft is available 10. PNF sw upgrade in ONAP is aligned to ORAN O1 specification 11. xNF Package and onboarding in ONAP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - xNF Package compliant to ETSI SOL004, - additional xNF artifacts have been introduced and supported: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - VES event, and PM dictionary artifacts according to VES event registration specifications - Additional artifacts (e.g. xNF YANG model) 12. VES specification are defined by ONAP in VNF requirement project 13. Control Loop in TOSCA PoC <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. A Control Loop and Control Loop components have been defined in TOSCA and deployed <p>Feedback from John Keeney: Request for chat for such use cases in Honolulu.</p>
00:45		@Mahesh	<p>Application Package Structure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TOSCA <p>Info from Michela Bevilacqua</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ ONAP enhanced TOSCA ▪ API schema definition for YANG and VES <p>On the agenda for next week - thanks!!! 😊</p>
00:55			<p>Questions and Answers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Non-RT-RIC: External API vs. config map
END			
Backup			

00:10	Mahesh	<p>Postman scripts to interface with the SMO and in turn test O1 interface between the SMO and different parts of O-RAN instances of O-CU, O-DU, O-RU and RIC using these models.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • yang modules • framework for test and validate CM <p>share content in in SMO Gerrit and create wiki linking to the same source</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Working on a framework for vendors for OAM/YANG tests • O-RAN-SC to host the framework • Postman and vsCode as RestClients • Alex about to setup a SIM in OSC lab - involve Rittwik in a O-RAN private lab • OpenFronthaul • 3GPP models are considered. <p>Feedback from Rittwik</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SMO in T-Labs • how to run Netopeer server - req to Felix (O-RAN-SC INT PTL) • Alex asked for VMs <p>Init script (Postman) for O-RAN OAM FH M-Plane (o-ran-interface.yang augmenting) interface demoed</p> <p>RFC8040 (RestConf by IETF) support supported by ODL</p>
00:25	Konrad Baka	<p>Konrad is absent this week. The presentation will be made when Konrad is available.</p> <p>O-RAN Component deployment</p> <p>The question is: How to deploy the red colored CNFs/VNFs of the O-RAN-Architecture?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CNF for O-RAN-components <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ model of CNF - how to be configured, how many components, blueprints ▪ CDS model needs to be created ▪ Network-service based on several CNFs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CNF types <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Near-RT-RIC ▪ O-CU-UP ▪ O-CU-CP ▪ O-DU <p>For detailed discussion the following page was created:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CNF deployment of O-RAN Components <p>Package</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ for Network Functions (priority) ▪ for Network Service (second step) <p>CNFs or VNFs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ both should be considered <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ let's start with CNFs first ▪ VNF is considered as additional option ▪ no CNFs and VNFs combined in a single package <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ maybe not for rApps ▪ VNF should take care about internal CNFs

Action items

