2021-01-14 Doc project meeting

Attending

Andreas Geissler Sofia Wallin

Topics, Notes, Status and Follow-Up Tasks

Topic	Notes / Status / Follow-up
☑ Objectives for Honolulu (and onwards)	- Documentation process - minimum scope for participating projects (planning, reviews, branching etc) - Getting started with your documentation - Suggestion to schedule a session for the upcoming virtual event - Cleaning up outdated content - Continuously clean up out dated content from RTD. How to do this in a structured way? - Enable a voting job -1 for warnings (te be excluded long line) - API Documentation handling Sofia Wallin to create a release planning page for Honolulu. Sofia Wallin to invite Jessica to the next meeting for discussion around enabling voting jobs for warnings.
☑ Guilin Maintenance Release	Follow up with the TSC and/or Catherine and David. Eric says that progress has been made but work still needed. Invite David McBride to discuss how to track this best. To create Jira ticket as "relman" or doc project? Ensure that documentation is added as a requirement for the release. Bring to the TSC 17/12 (Eric Debeau or Andreas Geissler)
☑ February virtual event	Targeted audience new comers. To propose having a hands-on hacking session. How to get started and write documentation. Owner Eric Debeau Targeted audience All. Documentation process (planning, reviews, branching etc). Owner Sofia Wallin Targeted audience All. Tool introduction. generating and validate diagrams from Tosca. Owner Eric Debeau Targeted audience All. Improvements made by the docs team. see https://wiki.lfnetworking.org/display/LN/2021+February+Developer+Topic+Proposals#id-2021FebruaryDeveloperTopicProposals-ONAPDocumentationImprovementsandPlans Owner Andreas Geissler
☑ Architecture documentation	For future collaboration and facilitation we will ask for a time slot in the arch subcommittee meeting to inform and educate how to work with docs. https://gerrit.onap.org/r/c/doc/+/115497
☑ Documentation build issues	Follow up with Jessica. Any news from RTD on feature adding. When the build jobs are failing on RTD can we get feedback in Jenkins?
☑ Branching strategy Eric Debeau and Sofia Wallin (all doc project)	Proposing to work together with the integration project to come up with a proposal and bring to the community.
☑ Documentation tracking Thomas Kulik	David McBride will join to discuss this further. Active projects vs maintenance projects.

☑	Intersphinx mapping Jessica Wagantall	Problem statement: The conf.py with the intersphinx mapping has different settings/configurations. Some projects refer to the latest branch and some stable/Frankfurt. After migrating we did see that some projects refers to latest even when choosing Frankfurt on RTD. Could this be because of the configuration or is the configuration based on whether the projects have created a release branch or not? Sofia Wallin From what I learned from Aric, we do not need to change the branch in each repo whenever a project creates their branch. The branch= foo in the conf.py only applies to the default rtd theme, it doesn't do anything for the theme ONAP is using. All projects need to have build the stable branch before we can switch the main doc to be the next release branch.
☑	**Update "Documentation improvements for end to end usage of ONAP"** **@Aarna Networks**	We submitted and cherry picked the final patches. Sent an email to the team to get the tutorials published on RTD according to the decision taken in December. These 3 should be moved to the ONAP user guide section as an rst file, https://wiki.onap.org/display/DW/vFWCL+Design+Tutorial https://wiki.onap.org/display/DW/ONAP+Service+Design+%28vFW%29+Tutorial https://wiki.onap.org/display/DW/ONAP+Service+Deployment+%28vFW%29+Tutorial But the AWS specific tutorial will remain on the wiki, https://wiki.onap.org/display/DW/Instructions+for+K8S+cluster+setup+on+AWS
☑	Local environment to write RST files Eric Debeau	Eric Debeau presented local documentation testing. We will document a basic for version for local RST rendering. Further extensions will be available if needed/wanted (such as spell checker + Linter) but this requires a more extensive set of tools. To be presented in the PTL call for feedback. ** Local environment to write RST files and detect Visual Studio Code IDE + Extensions (Spell Checker + Linter) Automatic preview aligned with ONAP documentation schemes **Status Notes:** Eric has all information and will put it to Wiki, when he finds the proposed location Sofia Wallin to create a wiki page and send to Eric DOC Local environment to write and test RST files Eric requests the team to try the instruction before bringing it to the community.
☑	Link management in RTD Eric Debeau	Many links ara managed in RTD - local links within a repo - inter-project links using inter-links from sphinx - links to code repo - external links to web sites There is a problem when a documentation linkes to a repo => the branc is not indicated. As result, the link points to the latest release Propostion to provide guidelines to be then presented for PTL Many links are broken => need to include a test in JJB and provide information about broken links **Feedback has been given, positive output. Next step, bring to PTL** **Sill required from the project to test**