

# 2021-01-20 Meeting notes (ONAP/O-RAN-SC/SMO - Meeting) - Agenda

## Date

20 Jan 2021 | 9am PST | noon EST | 17:00 UTC | 18:00 CET | 21:30 IST

Zoom: <https://zoom.us/j/436210993?pwd=Sjl2V3hlVV42SmpCV3l0aEFGV2RVQT09>

## Attendees

- Martin Skorupski
- Alex Stancu
- John Keeney
- Mahesh Jethanandani
- Swaminathan Seetharaman
- Abhinav Singh
- Alessandro Gerardo D'Alessandro
- Amy Zwarico
- Andrea Buldorini
- Andy Mayer
- @Anh Le
- Claudio David Gasparini
- Dibas Das
- @Dmytro Gassanov
- emmanuel sarris
- Fernando Oliveira
- Grzegorz Wielgosinski
- HariomGupta(HCL)
- Joachim Blixt
- Kamel Idrir
- user-7f92d
- Konrad Baka
- Kuldeep Negi
- Lasse Kaihlavirta
- Lathishbabu Ganesan
- Manoj Nair
- marcin krasowski
- Michela Bevilacqua
- Paulo Costa
- Paweł Słowikowski
- Ruslan Kashapov
- Sonia Sangari
- Scott Blandford
- subhash kumar singh
- Timo Perala
- @Vidhu Pandey
- Zu Qiang (Ericsson)
- Sven van der Meer

Please add yourself. Thanks!

## Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
00:00	Admin	Martin Skorupski	<p>Next meetings:</p> <p>2021-01-27: John Keeney (O-RAN vf2f)</p> <p>2021-02-03: Martin Skorupski</p> <p>2021-02-20: John Keeney</p>

## Informed

- Andrea Buldorini
- Alexander Dehn
- @John Ng
- George Clapp
- Herbert Eiselt
- KAPIL SINGAL
- Tracy Van Brakle

## See also

- 2021-01-20 Meeting notes - Joint OAM / NONRTRIC / SIM / SMO SCRUM meeting

## Goals

- share information between
  - O-RAN-SC Non-RT-RIC
  - O-RAN-SC OAM
  - O-RAN-SC SMO
  - ONAP CCSDK/SDNC/SDN-R
  - LFN 😊

## Recording

- Recording 1/2 (MP4)
- Recording 2/2 (MP4)

00:05	O-RAN-SC	O-RAN-SC PTLs	<p>Status reports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Non-RT-RIC:</b> <a href="#">John Keeney</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ cheery picking for cheery release</li> <li>▪ documentation</li> <li>▪ WG2 demo</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>SIM:</b> <a href="#">Alex Stancu</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ EPICs for D-release           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ new models (YANG, VES)</li> <li>▪ new Sim for a use case (fb involved, TIP?)</li> <li>▪ O-RU sim based on viavi topic of vf2f</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>SMO:</b> <a href="#">@Mahesh Jethanandani</a></li> <li>▪ <b>OAM:</b> <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ O-RU Recovery use case preparation           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <a href="https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/display/OAM/Closed+loop+use+case">https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/display/OAM/Closed+loop+use+case</a></li> <li>▪ <a href="#">ODLUX NetworkApp Model</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>
00:??	Use Case	@all	<p><b>OSC Proposed e2e integration use case: O-RU FH connection recovery</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• REF/Background:       <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ (OAM) <a href="#">Closed loop use case</a></li> <li>◦ (RSAC) Meeting 14 Jan:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Slides: <a href="https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/download/attachments/1179971/RU_failure_recovery_use_case.pptx?version=1&amp;modificationDate=1610637325824&amp;api=v2">https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/download/attachments/1179971/RU_failure_recovery_use_case.pptx?version=1&amp;modificationDate=1610637325824&amp;api=v2</a></li> <li>▪ Recording: <a href="https://zoom.us/rec/share/mKYOGKB3mgpvlo2Hx1eY5Oifyw9qV9NQd7NiEj-FxQKP0kUpWOc7yvMO_LLPhA_M.lmx2LCh7-QwDHAFi">https://zoom.us/rec/share/mKYOGKB3mgpvlo2Hx1eY5Oifyw9qV9NQd7NiEj-FxQKP0kUpWOc7yvMO_LLPhA_M.lmx2LCh7-QwDHAFi</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> provided intro       <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Alarm when fronthaul connection dies (O-DU - O-RU) (VES to SMO)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <a href="#">John Keeney</a> If there is a failure both O-DU &amp; O-RU will try reconnect anyway - without this loop? <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> Yes.</li> <li>• @? How does SMO connect to O-RU?       <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> This is a mix of different deployment options (hierarchical vs hybrid, O1 vs M-Plane). Main issue is agreeing models &amp; terminology.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <a href="#">John Keeney</a> Seems this use case is not real-world useful, especially since recovery will happen automatically anyway, &amp; mix of standards ...</li> <li>• <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> <a href="#">John Keeney</a> Using this use case as longer term motivator for SMO/NONRTRIC/rApp development - independent of usecase</li> <li>• Topology ??:        <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ May need a topology service for this use case - <a href="#">John Keeney</a> Will use a simple file/hardcoded for initial versions of this use case.</li> <li>◦ <a href="#">Pawe Pawlak</a> <a href="#">Andy Mayer</a> Topology in A&amp;AI, CPS ? <a href="#">John Keeney</a> No - not initially. Plan to keep it simple for this release.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>&lt;bridge dropped recording stopped at this point. Most participants reconnected once bridge started again&gt;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Topology ??: (contd)       <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <a href="#">John Keeney</a> Lots of options for how this should be done properly in ONAP.</li> <li>◦ <a href="#">Swaminathan Seetharaman</a> ONAP usecases already have some plans for this e.g. slicing, oof, etc</li> <li>◦ <a href="#">Andy Mayer</a> A model should be agreed in ONAP. Lots of previous discussions in ONAP - e.g. what goes in A&amp;AI and CPS ... but that was a while ago.</li> <li>◦ <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> SDNR has some topology model too - not standards based.</li> <li>◦ @Sven <a href="#">John Keeney</a> <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> Lots of issues with different topology models ... and most existing hierarchical models break down in a 5G/CloudRan environment</li> <li>◦ Lots of work ... <a href="#">Andy Mayer</a> <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> Can create a JIRA in ONAP MODCOM ...</li> <li>◦ ... but will keep it simple here for this use case.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• @? Will other O1 usecases be effected? <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> i No. O1 functions continue as before.</li> <li>• <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> Please add feedback if any</li> </ul>
	Other		<p><b>From last week</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Lasse Kaihlavirta</a> O-Cloud.       <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> has sent an email to INF PTL, invited to join, but its VERY late for them for this timeslot.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>Other</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Pawe Pawlak</a> Should we have a slack channel for this?       <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Difficult in some IT environments ...</li> <li>◦ RocketChat - No its shutting down.</li> <li>◦ <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> <a href="#">Pawe Pawlak</a> check with participants here &amp; create a slack channel</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <a href="#">John Keeney</a> <a href="#">Swaminathan Seetharaman</a> There will be a session about this work in LFN DDF next week       <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <a href="https://wiki.lfnetworking.org/display/LN/2021+February+Developer+Topic+Proposals#id-2021FebruaryDeveloperTopicProposals-ONAP/O-RAN/OSCAccignment-UpdatesandRoadmap">https://wiki.lfnetworking.org/display/LN/2021+February+Developer+Topic+Proposals#id-2021FebruaryDeveloperTopicProposals-ONAP/O-RAN/OSCAccignment-UpdatesandRoadmap</a></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <a href="#">John Keeney</a> O-RAN vF2f Note there. Note there will be session on OSC SMO - Join if you can. <a href="#">@Mahesh Jethanandani</a></li> </ul>
END			

## Action items

