

# 2021-03-17 Meeting notes (ONAP/O-RAN-SC/SMO - Meeting) - Agenda



Date: (St. Patrick's Day)

17 Mar 2021 | 9am PDT | noon EDT | 16:00 UTC | 17:00 CET | 21:30 IST

Note:

- North American daylight saving 2021 starts March14
- European daylight savings 2021 starts March28

**ZOOM:** <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89069708424?pwd=aGJOZm54eTUxd0FXR0VCU1N0ejBrUT09>  
**Meeting ID: 890 6970 8424**

## Attendees

- Alex Stancu
- Abhinav Singh
- Alessandro Gerardo D'Alessandro
- Amy Zwarico
- Andrea Buldorini
- Andy Mayer
- @Anh Le
- Claudio David Gasparini
- Dibas Das
- @Dmytro Gassanov
- emmanuel sarris
- Fernando Oliveira
- Grzegorz Wielgosinski
- HariomGupta(HCL)
- Joachim Blixt
- John Keeney
- Kamel Idir
- user-7f92d
- Konrad Baka
- Kuldeep Negi
- Lasse Kaihlavirta
- Lathishbabu Ganesan
- Manoj Nair
- Martin Skorupski
- marcin krasowski
- Michela Bevilacqua
- Paulo Costa
- Pawel Slowikowski
- Ruslan Kashapov
- Sonia Sangari
- Scott Blandford
- subhash kumar singh
- Swaminathan Seetharaman
- Timo Perala
- @Vidhu Pandey
- Zu Qiang (Ericsson)
- Sven van der Meer

Please add/remove yourself. Thanks!

## Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
00:00	Admin	Martin Skorupski	<p><b>Next meetings:</b></p> <p>2021-03-24: John Keeney</p> <p>2021-03-31: Martin Skorupski</p> <p>2021-04-06: John Keeney</p> <p>2021-04-13: Martin Skorupski -</p>

## Informed

- Andrea Buldorini
- Alexander Dehn
- George Clapp
- Herbert Eiselt
- KAPIL SINGAL
- Tracy Van Brakle

## See also

- 2021-03-17 Meeting notes - Joint OAM / NONRTRIC / SIM / SMO SCRUM meeting

## Goals

- share information between
  - O-RAN-SC Non-RT-RIC
  - O-RAN-SC OAM
  - O-RAN-SC SMO
  - ONAP CCSDK/SDNC/SDN-R
  - LFN 😊

## Recording

- Recording (cloud)
- Recording (mp4 low-res)
- Recording (cloud)
  - Topic: ONAP/O-RAN-SC/SMO Meetings
  - Start Time : Mar 17, 2021 16:57

Meeting Recording

Access Passcode: 6\$IK@WB5

00:05	Time shifts	@all	<p>2021-03-10   9am PST   noon EST   17:00 UTC   18:00 CET   22:30 IST</p> <p>2021-03-17   9am PDT   noon EDT   16:00 UTC   17:00 CET   21:30 IST</p> <p>2021-03-24   9am PDT   noon EDT   16:00 UTC   17:00 CET   21:30 IST</p> <p>2021-03-31   9am PDT   noon EDT   16:00 UTC   18:00 CEST   21:30 IST</p>
00:05	O-RAN-SC	O-RAN-SC PTLs	<p>Status reports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>Non-RT-RIC:</b> John Keeney is happy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ rApp - manager prototype</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>SIM:</b> Alex Stancu <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ ongoing</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ <b>OAM:</b> Martin Skorupski <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Preparing Open Wireless Lab for use cases: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ hello-world D-Release</li> <li>▪ OOF SON with Swami</li> <li>▪ yang versioning draft: <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning-02">https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning-02</a></li> <li>▪ ...</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>
00:15	SMO functionality and alignment between ONAP and O-RAN SC	Swaminathan Seetharaman	<p>SMO functionality and alignment between ONAP and O-RAN SC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ message to ONAP TSC</li> <li>▪ Slide preparation</li> <li>▪ content <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ SMO project proposal</li> <li>▪ Labs</li> <li>▪ asking for a slot</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
00:30	Q/A		
		@Vidhu	<p>O-DU can be mounted on ODL manually.</p> <p>AI: Martin - send VES example for pnfRegistration (template) - curl from o-ran-sc gerrit</p>
		Pawel Slowikowski	<p>VES streaming</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ PM <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ fileReady (bulkPM) vs. mapper to perf3PP (O1 interface)</li> <li>▪ perf3gpp</li> <li>▪ measurement</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ new way in VES 7.2 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ stnddefined plus body schema reference and "data" - 3GPP OpenAPI</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
END			

00:??	Use Case	@all	<p><b>OSC Proposed e2e integration use case: O-RU FH connection recovery</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• REF/Background: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ (OAM) Closed loop use case</li> <li>◦ (RSAC) Meeting 14 Jan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Slides: <a href="https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/download/attachments/1179971/RU_failure_recovery_use_case.pptx?version=1&amp;modificationDate=1610637325824&amp;api=v2">https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/download/attachments/1179971/RU_failure_recovery_use_case.pptx?version=1&amp;modificationDate=1610637325824&amp;api=v2</a></li> <li>▪ Recording: <a href="https://zoom.us/rec/share/mKYOGkB3mgpvlo2Hx1eY5Oifyw9qV9NQd7NiEj-FxQKP0kUpW0c7yvMO_LLPhA_M.lmx2LCh7-QwDHAFi">https://zoom.us/rec/share/mKYOGkB3mgpvlo2Hx1eY5Oifyw9qV9NQd7NiEj-FxQKP0kUpW0c7yvMO_LLPhA_M.lmx2LCh7-QwDHAFi</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> provided intro <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Alarm when fronthaul connection dies (O-DU - O-RU) (VES to SMO)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <a href="#">John Keeney</a> If there is a failure both O-DU &amp; O-RU will try reconnect anyway - without this loop? <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> Yes.</li> <li>• @? How does SMO connect to O-RU? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> This is a mix of different deployment options (hierarchical vs hybrid, O1 vs M-Plane). Main issue is agreeing models &amp; terminology.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <a href="#">John Keeney</a> Seems this use case is not real-world useful, especially since recovery will happen automatically anyway, &amp; mix of standards ...</li> <li>• <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> <a href="#">John Keeney</a> Using this use case as longer term motivator for SMO /NONRTRIC/rApp development - independent of usecase</li> <li>• Topology ??: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ May need a topology service for this use case - <a href="#">John Keeney</a> Will use a simple file /hardcoded for initial versions of this use case.</li> <li>◦ <a href="#">Pawe Pawlak</a> <a href="#">Andy Mayer</a> Topology in A&amp;AI, CPS ? <a href="#">John Keeney</a> No - not initially. Plan to keep it simple for this release.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>&lt;bridge dropped recording stopped at this point. Most participants reconnected once bridge started again&gt;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Topology ??: (contd) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <a href="#">John Keeney</a> Lots of options for how this should be done properly in ONAP.</li> <li>◦ <a href="#">Swaminathan Seetharaman</a> ONAP usecases already have some plans for this e.g. slicing, oof, etc</li> <li>◦ <a href="#">Andy Mayer</a> A model should be agreed in ONAP. Lots of previous discussions in ONAP - e.g. what goes in A&amp;AI and CPS ... but that was a while ago.</li> <li>◦ <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> SDNR has some topology model too - not standards based.</li> <li>◦ @Sven <a href="#">John Keeney</a> <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> Lots of issues with different topology models ... and most existing hierarchical models break down in a 5G/CloudRan environment</li> <li>◦ Lots of work ... <a href="#">Andy Mayer</a> <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> Can create a JIRA in ONAP MODCOM ...</li> <li>◦ ... but will keep it simple here for this use case.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• @? Will other O1 usecases be effected? <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> i No. O1 functions continue as before.</li> <li>• <a href="#">Martin Skorupski</a> Please add feedback if any</li> </ul>
-------	----------	------	---

## Action items

